

# **International Journal of Engineering & Technology**

Website: www.sciencepubco.com/index.php/IJET



Research paper

# Planar and Non Planar Construction of $\gamma$ - Uniquely Colorable Graph

A. Elakkiya<sup>1</sup>, M. Yamuna<sup>2</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore <sup>2</sup> Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore \*Corresponding author E-mail: myamuna@vit.ac.in

#### **Abstract**

A uniquely colorable graph G whose chromatic partition contains at least one  $\gamma$  - set is termed as a  $\gamma$  - uniquely colorable graph. In this paper, we provide necessary and sufficient condition for  $\overline{G}$  and  $G^*$  to be  $\gamma$  - uniquely colorable whenever G  $\gamma$ - uniquely colorable and also provide constructive characterization to show that whenever G is  $\gamma$ - uniquely colorable such that  $|P| \ge 2$ , G can be both planar non planar.

Keywords: Complement; Dual; Non Planar; Planar; Uniquely colorable graphs.

# 1. Introduction

In [1] Bing Zhou investigated the dominating  $-\chi$ -color number,  $d_\chi(G)$ , of a graph G. In [2],[3], M. Yamuna et al introduced  $\gamma$  - uniquely colorable graphs and also provided the constructive characterization of  $\gamma$  -uniquely colorable trees and characterized planarity of complement of  $\gamma$  - uniquely colorable graphs. In [4],[5],M. Yamuna et al introduced Non domination subdivision stable graphs (NDSS) and characterized planarity of complement of NDSS graphs

#### 2. Terminology

We consider simple graphs G with n vertices and m edges.  $K_n$  is a complete graph with n vertices.  $K_5$  and  $K_{3,3}$  are called Kuratowski's graph. Results related to graph theory we refer to [6].

Chromatic partition of a graph G is partition the vertices into smallest possible umber of disjoint ,independent sets. A graph G = (V, E) is said to be uniquely colorable ifhas a unique chromatic partition

D is adominating set if every vertex of V-D is adjacent to some vertex of D. Minimum cardinality of D, is said to be a minimum dominating set ( MDS). The cardinality of any MDS for G is said to be domination number of G, represented by  $\gamma(G)$ . Results related to domination we refer to [7].

# 3. Result and Discussion

A uniquely colorable graph G whose chromatic partition contains atleast one  $\gamma$ - set is termed as a  $\gamma$ - uniquely colorable graph. In Fig. 1  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are  $\gamma$ - uniquely colorable graphs.  $\overline{G_1}$  is  $\gamma$ - uniquely colorable while  $\overline{G_2}$  is not  $\gamma$ - uniquely colorable graph. So when G is  $\gamma$ - uniquely colorable,  $\overline{G}$  need not be  $\gamma$ - uniquely colorable. In Fig. 2  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are  $\gamma$ - uniquely colorable graphs.  $G_1^*$  is  $\gamma$ - uniquely colorable while  $G_2^*$  is not  $\gamma$ - uniquely colorable

graph. So when G is  $\gamma$  - uniquely colorable ,G\*need not be  $\gamma$ -uniquely colorable. In this paper, we determine the condition for  $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$  and G\* to be  $\gamma$ - uniquely colorable whenever G is  $\gamma$ - uniquely colorable. We also provide the constructive characterization to show that whenever G is  $\gamma$  uniquely colorable such that  $|P| \geq 2$ , G can be both planar and non planar.





Fig.1





**Theorem 1.** Let G be a isyuniquelycolorable graph.  $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$  is alsoyuniquely colourable if and only if  $\exists$  a unique smallestpossible partition  $P = \{\ V_1,\ V_2,\ \dots\ V_k\ \}$  of V(G)  $\ni$ 

1. every  $V_i$ , i = 1 to k is a clique

2. there exist one  $V_i$ 9 every vertex in  $V-\{V_i\}$  is notadjacent to atleast one vertex in  $V_i$ 

3.  $|V_i| \ge |V_i|$ , for every  $i \ne j$ 

4. V<sub>i</sub> is the smallest set in G satisfying 2

**Proof.** Assume that  $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$  is a  $\gamma$ - uniquely colourable graph, impliesthere exist a partition  $P_1 = \{ V_1, V_2, ... V_k \}$  for  $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$  such that

- P<sub>1</sub> is unique and smallest possible set.
- every  $V_i$ , i=1 to k, is independent in  $\overline{\textbf{G}}$  implies every  $V_i$  is a clique in G.
- there exist one  $V_i$  such that  $V_i$  is a  $\gamma$  set for  $\overline{\textbf{G}}$ . Also  $|V_i| \ge |V_j|$ , for every  $i \ne j$  implies there exist one  $V_i$  in G such that every vertex in  $V \{V_i\}$  not adjacent to atleast onevertex in every  $V_i$ .

implies  $P_1 = \{ V_1, V_2, ... V_k \}$  is a  $\gamma$ - chromatic partition for V( G ).  $P_1$  is not unique implies  $\exists$  one  $P_2 = \{ W_1, W_2, ... W_k \}$  in G such that {  $W_1, \ W_2, \ \dots \ W_k$  } is a clique, implies  $P_2$  is also a  $\ \gamma$ chromatic partition in  $\overline{\bf G}$  such that every W<sub>i</sub> is independent and | P<sub>1</sub>  $| = | P_2 |$ , a contradiction to our assumption that  $P_1$  is unique.  $P_1$  is not smallest, implies one  $P_3 = \{\ V_1,\ V_2,\ \dots\ V_k\ \}, q < k \ \text{such that}\ P_3$ isa  $\gamma$ chromatic partition in G  $\ni$  U<sub>i</sub>, i = 1to q is clique implies P<sub>3</sub> isa ychromatic partition in  $\overline{\bf G}$   $\ni$  every  $U_i$  is independent and  $|P_3| < |P_1|$ , a contradiction.  $P_1$  is a  $\gamma$ uniquely colorable partition for  $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ implies there exist one  $V_i$  such that  $V_i$  is a  $\gamma$ -set for  $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ , implies every vertex in  $V - \{V_i\}$  is adjacent to at least one vertex in  $V_i$ , implies  $P_1$ is a  $\gamma$ - chromatic partition in G  $\ni$  every vertex in V – {  $V_i$  } is not adjacent to at least one vertex in  $V_i$ . Also, we know that  $|V_i| \le |V_i|$ for every  $i \neq j$  in  $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ , implies it is true in G also. If  $V_i$  is not the smallest set  $\ni$  every vertex in  $V - \{V_i\}$  is  $\bot$  to at least one vertex in  $V_i$  in  $\overline{\bf G}$ , implies there exist one W contained in  $V(\overline{\bf G})$  such that W  $|<|V_i|$  and every vertex in W - V  $(\overline{\textbf{G}})$  is  $\perp$  to at least one vertexin W, a contradiction  $\Rightarrow$  V<sub>i</sub> is the smallest set satisfying the property. Hence  $P_1$  is a  $\gamma$ - chromatic partition in  $G \ni$  the conditions of the theorem are satisfied.

Conversely assume that the conditions of the theorem are satisfied. P is a partition such that it is unique and smallest such that every  $V_i$  is a clique, implies  $P_1$  is a partition in  $\overline{\textbf{G}}$  such that every  $V_i$  is independent. If P is not a smallest possible partition in  $\overline{\textbf{G}}$  such that each exist one partition  $P_4 = \{\ R_1, R_2, \dots R_q\ \}, \ q < k$  in  $\overline{\textbf{G}}$  such that each  $R_i$  is independent , implies  $P_4$  is a partition in G such that every  $R_i$  is a clique such that  $|\ P_4| < |\ P|$  , a contradiction. P is not unique in  $\overline{\textbf{G}}$ , implies there exist a partition  $P_5 = \{\ S_1, S_2, \dots S_k\}$  such that each  $S_i$  is independent in  $\overline{\textbf{G}}$ , implies  $P, P_5$  are two possible partition with the same cardinality in G, a contradiction.P is a partition  $\ni$  there exist one  $V_i$ , every vertex in  $V - \{\ V_i\ \}$  is not  $\bot$  to atleast one  $V_i$ ,  $|\ V_j| \ge |V_i|$  for any  $i \ne j$  implies P is a partition in  $\overline{\textbf{G}}$  every vertex in  $V - \{V_i\ \}$  is adapted to atleast one  $V_i, |\ V_i| \le |V_j|$ , if  $\ne j$ , implies  $V_i$  is a dominating set for  $\overline{\textbf{G}}$ . Since  $V_i$  is the smallest set satisfying this property, implies  $V_i$  is the  $\gamma$ - set for  $\overline{\textbf{G}}$ 

Let  $P = \{R_1, R_2, \dots R_q\}$ , betheset of regions of G. Let  $T = \{r_1, r_2, \dots r_q\}$ , betheset of vertices in the regions  $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_q$ respectively, that is  $r_1$  is the vertex in the region  $R_1, r_2$  is the vertex in the region  $R_q$ respectively. We observe that

- There is a 1-1 mapping between S and T, i.e  $\forall$  R<sub>i</sub>  $\in$  S  $\exists$ r<sub>i</sub>in T, i = 1,..., q.
- $\forall X \subseteq S \exists a \text{ corresponding set in } T \text{ ( say } X^* \text{ )}^*, \text{ i.e if } X \subseteq S = \{ R_i, R_p, R_j \}, \text{ then } X \subseteq T = \{ r_i, r_p, r_j \}.$
- If a is any edge in G there is a corresponding edge in G\*( say a\*)
- Let D ⊆ S ∋ every region in S D is ⊥ to at least one region in D ⇒ ∃ D ∈ T ∋ any vertex in T D is ⊥to at least vertex in D.
- D is a smallest cardinality satisfying this property  $\Rightarrow$  D\* is a  $\gamma$  set for G\*.

**Theorem 2.** Let G be a  $\gamma$  - uniquely colourable graph. G\* isalso  $\gamma$  - uniquely colourable graph if and only if there exist a unique smallest partition  $P = \{ R_1, R_2, ..., R_k \}$  of R(G) such that

1. every $R_i$ , i = 1, 2, ...,k is independent.

2. there exist one  $R_i$  such that every region in  $R-\{\ R_i\}$  is adjacent to atleast one region in  $R_i.$ 

3.  $|R_i| \ge |R_i|$ .

**Proof.** Assume that  $G^*$  is  $\gamma$ -uniquelycolourable graph. If  $G^*$  is  $\gamma$ -uniquely colourable graph, then there exist a partition  $P = \{V_1, V_2, ..., V_k\}$  such that P is a  $\gamma$ -chromatic partition,  $\Rightarrow$ 

1. every V<sub>i</sub> is independent.

2.  $V_1$  is a  $\gamma$  - set for  $G^*$ .

1 implies, there exist a set of regions  $R_1, R_2, ..., R_k$  in G such that every  $R_i$  is independent.

2 implies, there exist  $R_1 \ni$  every region in  $R - \{R_1\}$  is adjacent to atleast one region in  $R_1$  and  $R_1$  is the smallest set satisfying this property implies the conditions of the theorem are satisfied.

Conversely, assume that the conditions of the theorem are satisfied.  $P = \{ V_1, V_2, ..., V_k \}$  is a partition of R (G), implies there exist a partition  $P_1 = \{ V_1, V_2, ..., V_k \}$  of  $V(G^*)$ .

1 implies, every  $V_i$ , i = 1, 2, ...,k is independent.

2 implies, there exist one  $V_i\mathfrak{p}$  every vertex in  $V-\{\ V_i\}$  is  $\bot to$  atleast one region in  $V_i.$ 

3 implies  $|V_i| \ge |V_i|$  for all  $i \ne j$ 

Since P is a unique partition there exist no other partition of V (  $G^*$  ) that satisfies all these conditions implies,  $P_1$  is a  $\gamma$  - chromatic partition for  $G^*$ .

# **Planar and Non planar Construction**

In this section, we provide constructive characterization to show that whenever G is  $\gamma$ uniquely colorable such that  $\mid P \mid \geq 2$ , G can be both planarand nonplanar.

Planar Construction when |P| = 2.

Let  $\gamma(G) = k_1$ . Let  $P = \{ V_1, V_2 \}$ , where  $V_1 = \{ a_1, a_2, ..., a_{k1} \} V_2 = \{ b_1, b_2, ..., b_{k2} \}, k_2 \ge k_1, k_1 \ge 3, k_2 \ge 4.$ 

Constructagraph G<sub>1</sub> as follows

1.  $V(G_1) = V(G)$ 

2.Considerk<sub>1</sub> vertices in  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  say {  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_{k1}$ } and {  $b_1, b_2, ..., b_{k2}$ }.

Construct a comb graph with  $2k_1$  vertices. Label the vertices of this comb as seen in Fig. 3




Fig.3

Include the remaining  $k_2$  -  $k_1$  vertices of  $V_2$  as pendant vertices with  $a_{k1}$  as the support vertex. The general structure of graph  $G_1$  is as seen in the Fig.4.




Fig.4

Since we have atleast  $k_1$  pendant vertices,  $\gamma(G_1) \geq k_1$ , {  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ , ..., $a_{k1}$ } is a dominating set for  $G_1$ , implies  $\gamma(G_1) = k_1$ . Since  $\langle a_1, a_2, ..., a_{k1}, b_1, b_2, ..., b_{k2} \rangle$  is acomb, the only possible maximal independent sets are {  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_{k1}$ } and {  $b_1, b_2, ..., b_{k2}$ }.  $P = \{V_1, V_2\}$  is a partition for  $G_1$  such that

1.  $V_1$  is ay- set for  $G_1$ 

2. P is the only possible partition for  $G_1, \Rightarrow G_1$  is a  $\gamma-$  uniquely colorable graph.

Non Planar Construction when |P| = 2.

Let  $\gamma(G) \ge k_1$ .  $k_1 \ge 6$ ,  $P = \{ V_1, V_2 \} V_1 = \{ a_1, a_2, ..., a_{k1} \}; V_2 = \{ b_1, b_2, ..., b_{k2} \}$ ,  $k_2 \ge 6$ 

Construct a graph G<sub>1</sub> as follows

 $1.V(G_1) = V(G)$ 

2.Considerk<sub>1</sub> vertices in  $V_1$  and  $k_1$  vertices in  $V_2$  say {  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ ,  $a_3$ ,  $a_4$ ,  $a_5$ ,  $a_6$  }, {  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$ ,  $b_3$ , ...,  $b_{k2}$  }. Let  $\langle a_1$ ,  $a_2$ ,  $a_3$ ,  $b_1$   $b_2$ ,  $b_3 \rangle$  is  $K_{3,3}$ . Include the remaining  $a_i$ ,  $b_i$ , i=1,2,3. Include the remaining  $b_i$ ,  $i=6,7,...,k_2$  as arbitrary pendant vertices adjacent to any  $a_i$ , i=1,2,3. Graph  $G_1$  is as seen in Fig.5.




Fig.5.

Since  $G_1$  has atleast  $k_1$  pendant vertices {  $a_4$ ,  $a_5$ , ...,  $a_{k1}$ ,  $b_4$ ,  $b_5$ ,...,  $b_{k1}$  }, $\gamma(G_1) \ge k_1$ , {  $V_1$ } dominates  $G_1$ . Also |  $V_1$  |=  $k_1$ , implies that  $V_1$  isay- setfor  $G_1$ , since  $G_1$  isabipartite graph  $P = \{ V_1, V_2 \}$  is the onlychromatic partition for  $G_1$  such that  $V_1$  is a  $\gamma$  - set for  $G_1$ , implies  $G_1$  is  $\gamma$  - uniquely colorable and non planar.

$$\gamma(G)=3,\ P=\{V_1,\ V_2\},\ V_1=\{\ a_1,\ a_2,\ a_3\ \},\ V_2=\{\ b_2,...,b_{kl}\},k_l\geq 6,$$

$$\gamma(G) = 4$$
,  $P = |P| = 3V_1 = V_1 = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\}$ ,  $V_2 = \{b_1, b_2, ..., b_{kl}\}, k_l \ge 6$ ,

$$\gamma$$
 (G) = 5, P = {V<sub>1</sub>, V<sub>2</sub>}, V<sub>1</sub> = V<sub>1</sub> = { a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub>, a<sub>3</sub>, a<sub>4</sub>,a<sub>5</sub> }, V<sub>2</sub> = { $b_1$ ,  $b_2$ , ..., $b_{k1}$ }, $k_1$  $\ge$  6, are analogus to the above discussion.

 $\mid P\mid = 3 = P = \{V_1,\,V_2,V_3\}, = K_1.\mid V_2\mid = k_2,\mid V_3\mid = k_3,\,k_2,\,k_3{\geq}\,k_1.$  Planar Construction when  $\mid P\mid = 3.$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \mid P \mid = 3 = P = \{V_1, \, V_2, \, V_3\}, = K_1. \mid V_2 \mid = k_2, \, \mid V_3 \mid = k_3, \, k_2, \, k_3 \!\! \geq k_1. \\ \text{Consider a wheel graph with } k \text{ vertices where } k = k_1 + 2k_i, \\ \text{where} k_i = \min(k_2, \quad k_3). \text{ Label the vertices of the wheel in the following fashion as seen in Fig. 6.} \end{array}$ 





Fig.6

If  $k_2 \neq k_3$ , then we include the remaining vertices as follows. Let  $k_2 > k_3$ . Let  $k_2 = k_3 + m$ . Label the additional vertices as {  $b_{k3+1}$ ,  $b_{k3+2}$ , ..., $b_{k2}$  }. Include these vertices as seen in Fig.7.



Since  $\langle b_1, a_j, c_i \rangle$ , i=1 to  $k_{1-1}$ , j=2 to  $a_{k1}$  is  $P_3$ eithe $a_j$  or  $b_i$  or  $c_i$  should be included in every possible  $\gamma$  - set for G.  $\{a_1, a_2, ..., a_{k1}\}$  is a  $\gamma$ - set for G. Also  $\{V_1, V_2, V_3\}$  is the only possible chromatic partition for G implies  $\gamma$  - uniquely colorable graph G is planar.

#### 4. Conclusion

In this paper, we provide necessary and sufficient condition for  $\overline{G}$  and  $G^*$  to be  $\gamma$  uniquely colorable and also provide constructive characterization to show that whenever G is  $\gamma$  - uniquely colorable such that  $|P| \ge 2$ , G can be both planar and non planar.

#### References

- [1] Bing Zhou, "On the maximum number of dominating classes in graph coloring", *Open Journal of Discrete Mathematics*, Vol 6,(2016).pp.70 73.
- [2] M. Yamuna, A. Elakkiya, "γ Uniquely colorable graphs", IOPConf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering, Vol.263, (2017).
- [3] M. Yamuna, A. Elakkiya," Planar graph characterization of γ-Uniquely colorable graphs", IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering, Vol263, (2017).
- [4] Yamuna, M., Elakkiya, A., "Non domination subdivision stable graphs", *IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering*. Vol 263, (2017).
- [5] Yamuna, M., Elakkiya, A, "Planar graph characterization of NDSS graphs", IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering, Vol 263, (2017).
- [6] Harary, F, Graph Theory, Addison Wesley, Narosa Publishing House, (2001).
- [7] Haynes, T.W., Hedetniemi, S. T & Slater, P. J. Fundamentals of domination in graphs, New York, Marcel Dekker, (1998).