



# Various Separation Axioms on $\lambda_g^\delta$ -Closed Sets

Vaishnavy V<sup>1\*</sup>, Sivakamasundari K<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research Scholar

<sup>2</sup>Professor

<sup>1,2</sup>Department of Mathematics,

Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women,  
Coimbatore, India

\*Corresponding author E-mail: vaishnavyviswanathan92@gmail.com

## Abstract

The idea behind this article is to introduce and study the notions of  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -compactness,  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -connectedness and  $\lambda_g^\delta G_1$ -axioms. These notions are characterized using various spaces and different types of continuity.

**Keywords:** Regular open sets,  $\delta$ -open sets,  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open sets,  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -compactness,  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -connectedness.

## 1. Introduction

The conceptualization of  $\delta$ -closed sets was made by Velicko[10] during 1968. Georgiou et al.[1] dealt with the idea of  $(\Lambda, \delta)$ -closed sets amid 2004. The notation of the so called  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed sets[4] was made known in the year 2016. This definition was a generalization of  $\delta$ -closed sets. Consequently, many concepts related to  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed sets are being studied[5][6][7][8][9].

This work consists of some interesting axioms like  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -compactness,  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -connectedness and  $\lambda_g^\delta G_1$ -axioms. These concepts are analyzed through various forms of continuity and separation spaces.

## 2. Some Fundamentals

**Definition 2.1:** Let  $(P, \tau)$  be a topological space. Then a subset  $Z$  of  $(P, \tau)$  is known as

- (1) **regular closed**[3] if  $Z = \text{cl}(\text{int}(Z))$ .
- (2)  **$\delta$ -open**[10] if  $Z$  is the union of regular open sets. The collection of all  $\delta$ -open sets in  $(P, \tau)$  is denoted by  $\delta O(P, \tau)$ .
- (3)  **$\Lambda\delta$ -set**[1] if  $\Lambda\delta(Z)=Z$ , where  $\Lambda\delta(Z)=\bigcap\{O \in \delta O(P, \tau) \mid Z \subseteq O\}$ .
- (4)  **$(\Lambda, \delta)$ -closed**[1] if  $Z = T \cap C$ , where  $T$  is a  $\Lambda\delta$ -set and  $C$  is a  $\delta$ -closed set.
- (5)  **$\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed set**[4] if  $\text{cl}(Z) \subseteq R$  whenever  $Z \subseteq R$  and  $R$  is  $(\Lambda, \delta)$ -open in  $P$ .

**Definition 2.2**:[7] Let  $(P, \tau)$  be a topological space. Then a subset  $Z$  is said to be a  **$\lambda_g^\delta$ -neighborhood** of  $p \in P$  iff  $\exists$  a  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open set  $Q \ni p \in Q \subseteq Z$ .

**Definition 2.3:** A map  $\psi : (P, \tau) \rightarrow (Q, \sigma)$  is called

- (1)  **$\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous**[5] if the inverse image of every open set in  $(Q, \sigma)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open in  $(P, \tau)$ .
- (2) **quasi  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous**[9] if the inverse image of every  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open set in  $(Q, \sigma)$  is open in  $(P, \tau)$ .
- (3) **perfectly  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous**[9] if the inverse image of every  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open set in  $(Q, \sigma)$  is clopen in  $(P, \tau)$ .
- (4) **contra  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous**[9] if the inverse image of every open set in  $(Q, \sigma)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed in  $(P, \tau)$ .
- (5) **totally  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous**[9] if the inverse image of every open subset of  $(Q, \sigma)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -clopen in  $(P, \tau)$ .
- (6) **strongly  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous**[9] if the inverse image of every subset of  $(Q, \sigma)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -clopen in  $(P, \tau)$ .
- (7)  **$\lambda_g^\delta$ -irresolute**[9] if the inverse image of every  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open set in  $(Q, \sigma)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open in  $(P, \tau)$ .

**Definition 2.4**:[6] A space  $(P, \tau)$  is known as a  **$\lambda_g^\delta T_\delta$ -space** if every  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed subset of  $(P, \tau)$  is  $\delta$ -closed in  $(P, \tau)$ .

## 3. $\lambda_g^\delta$ -Compactness

**Definition 3.1** :A collection  $\mathcal{A}$  of a topological space  $(P, \tau)$  is said to cover  $P$  (or) to be a covering of  $P$  if the union of elements of  $\mathcal{A}$

is equal to  $P$ .  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be a  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open covering of  $P$  if its elements are  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open sets of  $(P, \tau)$ .

**Definition 3.2 :** A non-empty collection  $\{Z_i \mid i \in I\}$  of  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open sets in  $(P, \tau)$  is said to be an  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open cover of a subset  $B$  of  $(P, \tau)$  if  $B \subseteq \cup\{Z_i \mid i \in I\}$ .

**Definition 3.3 :** A topological space  $(P, \tau)$  is called  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -compact if every  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open cover of  $P$  has a finite subcover.

**Definition 3.4 :** A subset  $B$  of a topological space  $(P, \tau)$  is called  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -compact relative to  $P$  if for every collection  $\{Z_i \mid i \in I\}$  of  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open sets of  $(P, \tau)$   $\ni B \subseteq \cup\{Z_i \mid i \in I\} \ni$  a finite subset  $I_0$  of  $I \ni B \subseteq \cup\{Z_i \mid i \in I_0\}$ .

**Theorem 3.5 :** Every  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed subset of a  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -compact space  $P$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -compact relative to  $P$ .

**Proof :** Let  $Z$  be a  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed subset of a  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -compact space  $P$ . Then  $P \setminus Z$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open in  $P$ . Let  $S = \{V_i \mid i \in I\}$  be a  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open cover of  $Z$  in  $P$ . Then  $S^* = S \cup \{P \setminus Z\}$  is a  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open cover of  $P$ .

Since  $P$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -compact,  $S^*$  has a finite subcover of  $P$ , say  $P = V_{i_1} \cup V_{i_2} \cup \dots \cup V_{i_m} \cup Z^c$ , where  $V_{i_k} \in S$ . But  $Z$  and  $P \setminus Z$  are disjoint and hence  $Z \subseteq V_{i_1} \cup V_{i_2} \cup \dots \cup V_{i_m}$ , where  $V_{i_k} \in S$ . This implies that any  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open cover  $S$  of  $Z$  contains a finite sub-cover.

Therefore  $Z$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -compact relative to  $P$ .

**Theorem 3.6 :** A surjective  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous image of a  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -compact space is compact.

**Proof :** Let  $\psi : P \rightarrow Q$  be a surjective  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous function from a  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -compact space  $P$  to  $Q$ . Let  $\{V_i \mid i \in I\}$  be an open cover of  $Q$ . Since  $\psi$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous,  $\{\psi^{-1}(V_i) \mid i \in I\}$  is a  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open cover of  $P$ . Since  $P$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -compact,  $\exists$  a finite subcover  $\{\psi^{-1}(V_1), \psi^{-1}(V_2), \dots, \psi^{-1}(V_n)\}$  of  $\{\psi^{-1}(V_i) \mid i \in I\}$ . Since  $\psi$  is surjective,  $\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n\}$  is a finite open cover of  $Q$ . Hence  $(Q, \sigma)$  is compact.

**Theorem 3.7 :** A surjective, quasi  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous image of a compact space is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -compact.

**Proof :** Let  $\psi : (P, \tau) \rightarrow (Q, \sigma)$  be a surjective, quasi  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous function and  $\{V_i \mid i \in I\}$  be a  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open cover of  $Q$ . Since  $\psi$  is quasi  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous,  $\{\psi^{-1}(V_i) \mid i \in I\}$  is an open cover of  $P$ . Since  $P$  is compact,  $\exists$  a finite open subcover  $\{\psi^{-1}(V_1), \psi^{-1}(V_2), \dots, \psi^{-1}(V_n)\}$  of  $\{\psi^{-1}(V_i) \mid i \in I\}$ . Since  $\psi$  is surjective,  $\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n\}$  is a finite  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open subcover of  $Q$  and hence  $Q$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -compact.

**Corollary 3.8:** A surjective, perfectly  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous image of a compact space is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -compact.

**Proof :** Since every perfectly  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous function is a quasi  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous function, the result follows.

**Theorem 3.9:** If  $\psi : (P, \tau) \rightarrow (Q, \sigma)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -irresolute and  $B \subseteq P$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -compact relative to  $P$  then the image,  $\psi(B)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -compact relative to  $Q$ .

**Proof :** Let  $\{Z_i \mid i \in I\}$  be a  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open cover of  $\psi(B)$  i.e.,  $\psi(B) \subseteq \cup\{Z_i \mid i \in I\} \Rightarrow B \subseteq \cup\{\psi^{-1}(Z_i) \mid i \in I\}$ . Since  $B$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -compact relative to  $P$ ,  $\{\psi^{-1}(Z_i) \mid i \in I\}$  has a finite subcover  $\cup\{\psi^{-1}(Z_i) \mid i \in I_0\}$  (say)  $\ni B \subseteq \cup\{\psi^{-1}(Z_i) \mid i \in I_0\} \Rightarrow \psi(B) \subseteq \cup\{Z_i \mid i \in I_0\} \Rightarrow \cup\{Z_i \mid i \in I_0\}$  is a finite subcover of  $\cup\{\psi^{-1}(Z_i) \mid i \in I\}$ . Therefore  $\psi(B)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -compact relative to  $Q$ .

**Theorem 3.10 :** A topological space  $P$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -compact iff each family of  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed subsets of  $P$  with the finite intersection property has a non-empty intersection.

**Proof :** Given a collection  $G$  of subsets of  $P$ , let  $H = \{P \setminus G \mid G \in G\}$  be the collection of its complements. Then we have,  $G$  is a collection of  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open sets iff  $H$  is a collection of  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed sets.

The collection  $G$  covers  $P$  iff the intersection  $\bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{H}} H$  of all elements of  $H$  is non-empty.

The finite sub-collection  $\{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n\}$  of  $G$  covers  $P$  iff the intersection of the corresponding elements  $H_i = P \setminus G_i$  of  $H$  is empty.

Statement (i) is obvious whereas (ii) and (iii) follow from DeMorgan's law:  $P \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha = \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} (P \setminus A_\alpha)$ . Now we prove the

theorem by contra positive approach which is equivalent to the following:

Let  $G$  be any collection of  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open sets in  $P$ . If no finite sub-collection of  $G$  covers  $P$ , then  $G$  does not cover  $P$ . Now applying (i) to (iii), we observe that this statement is equivalent to the following:

Given any collection  $H$  of  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed sets, if every finite intersection of elements of  $H$  is non-empty then intersection of all elements of  $H$  is non-empty.

**Definition 3.11 :** A topological space  $(P, \tau)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -Lindelof if every  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open cover of  $P$  contains a countable subcover.

**Theorem 3.12 :** Every  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -compact space is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -Lindelof.

**Theorem 3.13 :** A surjective,  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -irresolute image of a  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -Lindelof space is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -Lindelof.

**Proof :** Let  $\psi : P \rightarrow Q$  is a  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -irresolute, surjection and  $P$  be a  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -Lindelof space. Let  $\{R_i \mid i \in I\}$  be an  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open cover of  $Q$ . Then  $\{\psi^{-1}(R_i) \mid i \in I\}$  is a  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open cover of  $P$ . Since  $P$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -Lindelof, it has a countable subcover namely  $\{\psi^{-1}(R_1), \psi^{-1}(R_2), \dots, \psi^{-1}(R_n), \dots\}$ . Since  $\psi$  is surjective,  $\{R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n, \dots\}$  is a countable subcover of  $Q$ . Hence  $Q$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -Lindelof.

**Theorem 3.14 :** A surjective  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous image of a  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -Lindelof is Lindelof.

**Proof :** Let  $\psi : P \rightarrow Q$  be a surjective,  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous function from a  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -Lindelof space  $P$  to  $Q$ . Let  $\{R_i \mid i \in I\}$  be an open cover of  $Q$ . Since  $\psi$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous,  $\{\psi^{-1}(R_i) \mid i \in I\}$  is a  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open cover of  $P$ . Since  $P$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -Lindelof,  $\exists$  a countable

subcover  $\{\psi^{-1}(R_1), \psi^{-1}(R_2), \dots, \psi^{-1}(R_n), \dots\}$  of  $\{\psi^{-1}(R_i) \mid i \in I\}$ . Since  $\psi$  is surjective,  $\{R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n, \dots\}$  is a countable subcover of  $Q$ . Hence  $(Q, \sigma)$  is Lindelof.

**Theorem 3.15 :** A surjective, quasi  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous image of a Lindelof space is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -Lindelof.

**Proof :** Let  $\psi : (P, \tau) \rightarrow (Q, \sigma)$  be a surjective, quasi  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous function and  $\{R_i \mid i \in I\}$  be a  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open cover of  $Q$ . Since  $\psi$  is quasi  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous,  $\{\psi^{-1}(R_i) \mid i \in I\}$  is an open cover of  $P$ . Since  $P$  is Lindelof,  $\exists$  a countable subcover  $\{\psi^{-1}(R_1), \psi^{-1}(R_2), \dots, \psi^{-1}(R_n), \dots\}$  of  $\{\psi^{-1}(R_i) \mid i \in I\}$ . Since  $\psi$  is surjective,  $\{R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n, \dots\}$  is a countable subcover of  $Q$  and hence  $Q$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -Lindelof.

**Corollary 3.16 :** A surjective, perfectly  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous image of a compact space is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -compact.

**Proof :** The proof follows since every perfectly  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous function is a quasi  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous function.

### 4. $\lambda_g^\delta$ -Compactness

**Definition 4.1:** A subset  $Z$  of a topological space  $(P, \tau)$  is called  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -regular closed if  $Z = \lambda_g^\delta \text{ cl}(\lambda_g^\delta \text{ int}(Z))$ .

$\lambda_g^\delta$ -regular open if  $Z = \lambda_g^\delta \text{ int}(\lambda_g^\delta \text{ cl}(Z))$ .

$\lambda_g^\delta$ -regular if it is both  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -regular closed and  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -regular open.

**Definition 4.2 :**[8] Let  $(P, \tau)$  be a topological space. Then a subset  $Z$  of  $(P, \tau)$  is known as  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -Frontier (briefly,  $\lambda_g^\delta \text{ Fr}(Z)$ ) is defined as  $\lambda_g^\delta \text{ Fr}(Z) = \lambda_g^\delta \text{ cl}(Z) \setminus \lambda_g^\delta \text{ int}(Z)$ .

**Theorem 4.3 :** A subset  $Z$  of a topological space  $(P, \tau)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -regular iff  $\lambda_g^\delta \text{ Fr}(Z) = \phi$ .

**Proof :** *Necessity :* Let  $Z$  be  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -regular then (i)  $Z = \lambda_g^\delta \text{ cl}(\lambda_g^\delta \text{ int}(Z))$  and (ii)  $Z = \lambda_g^\delta \text{ int}(\lambda_g^\delta \text{ cl}(Z))$ . Now, (i)  $\implies \lambda_g^\delta \text{ cl}(Z) = \lambda_g^\delta \text{ cl}(\lambda_g^\delta \text{ cl}(\lambda_g^\delta \text{ int}(Z))) = \lambda_g^\delta \text{ cl}(\lambda_g^\delta \text{ int}(Z)) = Z$  and (ii)  $\implies \lambda_g^\delta \text{ int}(Z) = \lambda_g^\delta \text{ int}(\lambda_g^\delta \text{ int}(\lambda_g^\delta \text{ cl}(Z))) = \lambda_g^\delta \text{ int}(\lambda_g^\delta \text{ cl}(Z)) = Z$ . Thus  $\lambda_g^\delta \text{ Fr}(Z) = \lambda_g^\delta \text{ cl}(Z) \setminus \lambda_g^\delta \text{ int}(Z) = \phi$ .

*Sufficiency :* Let  $\lambda_g^\delta \text{ Fr}(Z) = \phi$ . This implies  $\lambda_g^\delta \text{ cl}(Z) = \lambda_g^\delta \text{ int}(Z)$  which means  $\lambda_g^\delta \text{ int}(Z) = Z = \lambda_g^\delta \text{ cl}(Z)$ . Thus we have  $\lambda_g^\delta \text{ cl}(\lambda_g^\delta \text{ int}(Z)) = \lambda_g^\delta \text{ cl}(Z) = Z$  and  $\lambda_g^\delta \text{ int}(\lambda_g^\delta \text{ cl}(Z)) = \lambda_g^\delta \text{ int}(Z) = Z$ . Hence  $Z$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -regular.

**Definition 4.4:** A topological space  $(P, \tau)$  is called  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -connected if  $P$  cannot be expressed as a union of two disjoint, non-empty,  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open sets.

**Theorem 4.5:** For a topological space  $(P, \tau)$ , the following are equivalent:

$P$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -connected.

$P$  and  $\phi$  are the only  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -regular subsets of  $P$ .

Each  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous function of  $P$  into a discrete space  $Q$  with atleast two points is a constant function.

Every non-empty proper subset has a non-empty  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -Frontier.

**Proof :**(i)  $\implies$  (ii) Let  $R$  be a  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -regular subset of  $P$ . Then  $P \setminus R$  is both  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open and  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed in  $P$ . Since  $P$  is the disjoint union of  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open sets  $R$  and  $P \setminus R$ ,  $P$  is not  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -connected which is a contradiction to (i) and hence one of these must be empty. That is  $R = \phi$  or  $R = P$ .

(ii)  $\implies$  (i) Suppose  $P = Z \cup B$ , where  $Z$  and  $B$  are non-empty,  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open sets. Then  $Z = P \setminus B$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed. Then  $Z$  is a non-empty, proper subset that is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -regular. This is a contradiction to (ii). Hence  $P$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -connected.

(ii)  $\implies$  (iii) Let  $\psi : (P, \tau) \rightarrow (Q, \sigma)$  be a  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous function and  $Q$  be a discrete space with at least two points. Then for each  $q \in Q$ ,  $\{q\}$  is both open and closed. Since  $\psi$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous,  $\psi^{-1}\{q\}$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open as well as  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed in  $P$  and  $P = \cup\{\psi^{-1}\{q\} \mid q \in Q\}$ . By hypothesis  $\psi^{-1}\{q\} = \phi$  or  $P$  for each  $q \in Q$ . If  $\psi^{-1}\{q\} = \phi$ , for all  $q \in Q$  then  $\psi$  will not be a function. If  $\psi^{-1}\{q\} = P$ , for a single point  $q \in Q$  then there cannot exist another point  $q_1 \in Q \ni \psi^{-1}\{q_1\} = P$ . Hence  $\exists$  only one  $q \in Q \ni \psi^{-1}\{q\} = P$  and  $\psi^{-1}\{q_1\} = \phi$ , where  $q_1 \in Q$  and  $q_1 \neq q$ . This proves that  $\psi$  is a constant function.

(iii)  $\implies$  (ii) Let  $R$  be a  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -regular subset in  $P$ . We wish to prove that the only  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -regular subsets are  $\phi$  and  $P$ . Suppose  $R \neq \phi$  then we claim  $R = P$ . Let  $q_1, q_2 \in Q$ . Define  $\psi : P \rightarrow Q$  by

$$\psi(p) = \begin{cases} q_1, & p \in U \\ q_2, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then for any open set  $S$  in  $Q$ ,

$$\psi^{-1}(S) = \begin{cases} R & \text{if } S \text{ contains } q_1 \text{ only} \\ P \setminus R & \text{if } S \text{ contains } q_2 \text{ only} \\ P & \text{if } S \text{ contains } q_1, q_2 \\ \phi & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In all the cases,  $\psi^{-1}(S)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open in  $P$ . Also,  $\psi$  is a non-constant,  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous function. This is a contradiction. Hence the only  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -clopen subsets of  $P$  are  $\phi$  and  $P$ .

(ii)  $\implies$  (iv) Let  $Z$  be a non-empty, proper subset of  $P$ . Suppose  $\lambda_g^\delta \text{ Fr}(Z) = \phi$ . Then  $Z$  is both  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open and  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed which is a contradiction to (ii).

(iv)  $\implies$  (ii) Suppose that  $Z$  is a non-empty, proper subset of  $P$  which is both  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed and  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open. This implies  $Z$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -regular and hence by Theorem 4.3,  $\lambda_g^\delta \text{ Fr}(Z) = \phi$ , which is a contradiction.

**Theorem 4.6 :** A surjective,  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous image of a  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -connected space is connected.

**Proof :** Let  $\psi : (P, \tau) \rightarrow (Q, \sigma)$  be a surjective,  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous function. Suppose  $Q$  is not connected. Then  $Q = Z \cup K$ , where  $Z$  and  $K$  are two disjoint, non-empty,  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open subsets of  $Q$ . Since  $\psi$  is surjective &  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous,  $P = \psi^{-1}(Z) \cup \psi^{-1}(K)$  where

$\psi^{-1}(Z)$  and  $\psi^{-1}(K)$  are disjoint, non-empty and  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open sets in  $(P, \tau)$ . But this is a contradiction to the fact that  $P$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -connected. Hence  $Q$  is connected.

**Theorem 4.7 :** If  $\psi : P \rightarrow Q$  is a surjective, contra  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous function and  $P$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -connected then  $Q$  is connected.

**Proof :** Let  $S$  be a clopen subset of  $Q$ . Since  $\psi$  is contra  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous,  $\psi^{-1}(S)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -regular. As  $P$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -connected,  $\psi^{-1}(S) = \phi$  or  $P$ . Since  $\psi$  is surjective,  $S = \phi$  or  $Q$ . Hence  $Q$  is connected.

**Theorem 4.8 :** Let  $\psi : (P, \tau) \rightarrow (Q, \sigma)$  be a surjective,  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -irresolute function. If  $P$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -connected then  $Q$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -connected.

**Proof :** Let  $S$  be a  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -regular subset of  $Q$ . Since  $\psi$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -irresolute,  $\psi^{-1}(S)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -regular in  $P$ . As  $P$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -connected,  $\psi^{-1}(S) = \phi$  or  $P$ . Since  $\psi$  is surjective,  $S = \phi$  or  $Q$ . Hence  $Q$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -connected.

**Theorem 4.9 :** Let  $\psi : P \rightarrow Q$  be a  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open,  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed (resp.  $\delta$ -open,  $\delta$ -closed) injection. If  $Q$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -connected then  $P$  is also  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -connected.

**Proof :** Let  $Z$  be a  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -regular set in  $P$ . Since  $\psi$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open and  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed,  $\psi(Z)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -regular in  $Q$ . Since  $Q$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -connected,  $\psi(Z) = \phi$  or  $Q$ . Since  $\psi$  is an injection,  $Z = \phi$  or  $P$ . Hence  $P$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -connected.

**Theorem 4.10 :** If  $\psi : P \rightarrow Q$  is a totally  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous function from a  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -connected space  $P$  to  $Q$  then  $Q$  has the indiscrete topology.

**Proof :** Let  $S$  be open in  $Q$ . Since  $\psi$  is a totally  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous function,  $\psi^{-1}(S)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -regular in  $P$ . Since  $P$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -connected,  $\psi^{-1}(S) = \phi$  or  $P$ . Since  $\psi$  is an injection,  $S = \phi$  or  $Q$ . Hence  $Q$  has the indiscrete topology.

**Theorem 4.11 :** If  $\psi : P \rightarrow Q$  is a strongly  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous bijective function and  $Q$  is a topological space with atleast two points then  $P$  is not  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -connected.

**Proof :** Let  $q \in Q$ . Then  $\psi^{-1}(\{q\})$  is a non-empty proper subset of  $P$  which is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -regular, as  $\psi$  is strongly  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -continuous. Therefore  $P$  is not  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -connected.

**Theorem 4.12 :** If a topological space  $(P, \tau)$  is almost weakly Hausdorff and connected then it is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -connected.

**Proof :** Suppose  $P$  is not  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -connected. Then  $P = Z \cup B$ , where  $Z$  and  $B$  are non-empty, disjoint,  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open sets of  $P$ . Since  $P$  is almost weakly Hausdorff,  $Z$  and  $B$  are open in  $P$ [9]. This contradicts the connectedness of  $P$ . Hence  $P$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -connected.

**Theorem 4.13:** Every topological space which is both  $\lambda_g^\delta T_\delta$  and connected is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -connected.

**Proof :** Obvious.

## 5. $\lambda_g^\delta G_i$ - Axioms (i = 1, 2)

**Definition 5.1 :** Let  $(P, \tau)$  be a topological space. It is said to be a  $\lambda_g^\delta G_1$ -space if for any point  $p \in P$  and any connected subset  $M$  of  $P$  with  $p \notin M$ ,  $\exists \lambda_g^\delta$ -open sets  $R$  and  $S \ni p \in R, M \subseteq S, R \cap M = \phi$  and  $\{p\} \cap S = \phi$ .

**Example 5.2 :** Let  $P = \{x, y, z, d\}$  and  $\tau = \{P, \phi, \{x\}\}$ . Then  $(P, \tau)$  is a  $\lambda_g^\delta G_1$ -space as for  $z \in M$  and a connected set  $M = \{x, y\}$  with  $z \notin \{x, y\}$ ,  $\exists \lambda_g^\delta$ -open sets  $R = \{z\}$  and  $S = \{x, y\} \ni z \in \{z\}, \{x, y\} \subseteq \{x, y\}, \{z\} \cap \{x, y\} = \phi$ .

**Theorem 5.3 :** If every connected subset of  $P$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed then for any two disjoint connected subsets  $M$  and  $N$  of  $P$ ,  $\exists \lambda_g^\delta$ -open sets  $R$  and  $S \ni M \subseteq R, N \subseteq S, R \cap N = \phi$  and  $M \cap S = \phi$ .

**Proof :** Let  $M$  and  $N$  be any two disjoint connected subsets of  $P$ . Then by hypothesis,  $M$  and  $N$  are  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed. This implies  $P \setminus M$  and  $P \setminus N$  are  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open sets containing  $N$  and  $M$  respectively, as  $M$  and  $N$  are disjoint. Now let  $R = P \setminus N$  and  $S = P \setminus M$ . Then  $N \cap R = S \cap M = \phi$ .

**Theorem 5.4 :** If for any two disjoint connected subsets  $M$  and  $N$  of  $P$ ,  $\exists \lambda_g^\delta$ -open sets  $R$  and  $S \ni M \subseteq R, N \subseteq S, R \cap N = \phi$  and  $S \cap M = \phi$  then  $P$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta G_1$ .

**Definition 5.5 :** Let  $(P, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $(Q, \sigma)$  be its subspace. Then a subset  $Z$  of  $Q$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open in  $Q$  if  $Z$  can be written as  $Z = Q \cap K$  where  $K$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open in  $P$ .

**Theorem 5.6 :** Every  $\delta$ -open subspace  $Q$  of a  $\lambda_g^\delta G_1$ -space  $P$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta G_1$ .

**Proof :** Let  $Z$  be a connected subset in  $Q$ . Then  $Z$  is connected in  $P$  as well. Let  $q \in Q \subseteq P \ni q \notin Z$ . Then by hypothesis,  $\exists \lambda_g^\delta$ -open sets  $R$  and  $S \ni q \in R, Z \subseteq S, R \cap Z = \phi$  and  $\{q\} \cap S = \phi$ . By the definition of subspace topology,  $Q \cap R$  and  $Q \cap S$  are  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open sets in  $Q \ni q \in Q \cap R, Z \subseteq Q \cap S$  and  $(Q \cap R) \cap Z = \{q\} \cap (Q \cap S) = \phi$ . Hence  $Q$  is a  $\lambda_g^\delta G_1$ -space.

**Theorem 5.7 :** A bijective, continuous and  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -irresolute image of a  $\lambda_g^\delta G_1$ -space is a  $\lambda_g^\delta G_1$ -space.

**Proof :** Let  $\psi : P \rightarrow Q$  be a continuous function and  $M$  be a connected subset in  $P \ni p \notin M$ . then  $\psi(M)$  is connected in  $Q$ . Since  $\psi$  is one to one and onto,  $\psi(p) \notin \psi(M)$ . Now since  $Q$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta G_1$ ,  $\exists \lambda_g^\delta$ -open sets  $R$  and  $S$  in  $Q \ni \psi(p) \in R, \psi(M) \subseteq S$  and  $R \cap \psi(M) = \{\psi(p)\} \cap S = \phi$ . Since  $\psi$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -irresolute,  $\psi^{-1}(R)$  and  $\psi^{-1}(S)$  are  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open sets in  $P$  with  $p \in \psi^{-1}(R), M \subseteq \psi^{-1}(S)$  and  $\psi^{-1}(R) \cap M = \{p\} \cap \psi^{-1}(S) = \phi$ . Hence  $P$  is a  $\lambda_g^\delta G_1$ -space.

**Definition 5.8 :** A topological space  $(P, \tau)$  is called  $\lambda_g^\delta G_2$ -space if for every connected set  $F$  and a point  $p \notin F$ ,  $\exists \lambda_g^\delta$ -open sets  $R$  and  $S \ni p \in R, F \subseteq S$  and  $R \cap S = \phi$ .

**Example 5.9 :** Let  $P$  and  $\square$  be defined as in Example 5.2. Then  $(P, \square)$  is a  $\lambda_g^\delta G_2$ -space as for  $z \in F$  and a connected set  $F = \{x, y\}$  with  $z \notin \{x, y\}$ ,  $\exists \lambda_g^\delta$ -open sets  $R = \{z\}$  and  $S = \{x, y\} \ni z \in \{z\}$ ,  $\{x, y\} \subseteq \{x, y\}$ ,  $\{z\} \cap \{x, y\} = \phi$ .

**Theorem 5.10 :** Every  $\lambda_g^\delta G_2$ -space is a  $\lambda_g^\delta T_2$ -space.

**Proof :** Let  $(P, \square)$  be a  $\lambda_g^\delta G_2$ -space and  $p \neq q \in P$ . Then  $p \notin \{q\}$ , which is a connected set. By hypothesis,  $\exists \lambda_g^\delta$ -open sets  $R$  and  $S \ni p \in R, \{q\} \subseteq S$  and  $R \cap S = \phi$ . Therefore  $\exists \lambda_g^\delta$ -open sets  $R$  and  $S \ni p \in R, q \in S$ . Hence  $(P, \square)$  is a  $\lambda_g^\delta T_2$ -space.

**Theorem 5.11 :** A  $\square$ -open subspace of a  $\lambda_g^\delta G_2$ -space is  $\lambda_g^\delta G_2$ .

**Proof :** Similar to Theorem 5.6.

**Theorem 5.12 :** If a topological space  $(P, \square)$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta G_2$  then for any point  $p \in P$  and any connected subset  $M$  not containing  $p$ ,  $\lambda_g^\delta \text{cl}(R) \cap M = \phi$ , where  $R$  is a  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open neighborhood of  $p$ .

**Proof :** Let  $M$  be a connected subset of  $P \ni p \notin M$ . Since  $P$  is a  $\lambda_g^\delta G_2$ -space,  $\exists$  disjoint,  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open sets  $R$  and  $S \ni p \in R, M \subseteq S$ . This implies  $R \subseteq P \setminus S$  and hence  $\lambda_g^\delta \text{cl}(R) \subseteq \lambda_g^\delta \text{cl}(P \setminus S) = P \setminus S$ , as  $P \setminus S$  is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed. Further  $\lambda_g^\delta \text{cl}(R) \cap M = \phi$ , as  $M \subseteq S$ .

## 6. Conclusion

Some conditions for preserving  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -compactness are derived. Results relating  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -compactness with compactness are obtained.  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -connectedness is related to connectedness through almost weakly Hausdorff space and  $\lambda_g^\delta T_\square$ -space, even though  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -open sets and open sets are independent of each other. It is interesting to note that any surjective,  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -irresolute image of a  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -connected space is  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -connected. The nature of  $\lambda_g^\delta G_1$ -space is preserved by a bijective, continuous and  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -irresolute function.

## References

- [1] Georgiou, D. N., Jafari, S. and Noiri, T., Properties of  $(\Lambda, \delta)$ -closed sets in topological spaces, Bollettino dell'Unione Matematica Italiana, Serie 8, 7-B(2004), 745-756.
- [2] Sivakamasundari, K., Some  $gG$ -axioms, Research Highlights, 21(2011), 227-231.
- [3] Stone, M., Application of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 41(1937), 374-481.
- [4] Vaishnavy, S. and Sivakamasundari, K., A New Generalization of  $\delta$ -Closed Sets Using Two Different Operators, International Journal of Engineering Sciences and Research Technology, 5(9)(2016), 791-795.
- [5] Vaishnavy, S. and Sivakamasundari, K., Special properties and Continuity aspects on  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed sets, Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 13(1)(2017), 56-61.
- [6] Vaishnavy, S. and Sivakamasundari, K., Separation Axioms on  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -Closed Sets, International Journal of Computer and Mathematical Sciences, 6(6)(2017), 6-12.
- [7] Vaishnavy, S. and Sivakamasundari, K.,  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -Neighborhood in Topological Spaces, International Journal of Engineering Technology Science and Research, 4(6)(2017), 344-347.

[8] Vaishnavy, S. and Sivakamasundari, K., Some Concepts related to  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed sets, Proceedings of National Conference on Mathematical Modelling NCM 2017, 101-106.

[9] Vaishnavy, S. and Sivakamasundari, K., Continuity aspects on  $\lambda_g^\delta$ -closed sets, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics (Accepted).

[10] Velicko, N. S.,  $H$ -closed topological spaces, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., 78(1968), 102-118.