

# Some Difference Double Sequence Spaces with Respect to Double Orlicz Function

Ali Hussain Battor<sup>1\*</sup>, Dhuha Abdulameer Kadhim<sup>2</sup>, Zainab Hayder Hasan<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematic, College of Education for Girls, Iraq.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematic, College of Education for Girls, Iraq.

<sup>3</sup>Researcher in Mathematics, Iraq.

## Abstract

This work concerned with studying of new difference double sequence spaces concerning with lacunary sequences with respect to double Orlicz function. Moreover, from this work we proved some inclusion relations involving these spaces.

## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The Banach spaces of real bounded and convergent double sequences  $(u, v) = (u_{n,m}, v_{n,m})$  are denoted by  $2\ell_\infty$  and  $2c$ , respectively. Which defined the normed by  $\|u, v\| = \sup_{n,m} \{|u_{n,m}|, |v_{n,m}|\}$  where  $\|u\| = \sup_{n,m} \{|u_{n,m}|\}$ ,  $\|v\| = \sup_{n,m} \{|v_{n,m}|\}$

In 1994, Parashar and Choudhary [9] have been constructed a sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions. The idea of double sequence spaces has been introduced in 1999 by M. Basarir and O. Sonalcan [3], also by [1,6,11] and other authors have been studied a new difference double sequence spaces. The notion of double sequence spaces defined by Orlicz function was structured by [2],[10],[12] and [13], et al.

### Definition 1.1

A double sequence of positive integers  $2\theta = (\mathcal{K}_{r,s})$  is called lacunary if  $\mathcal{K}_0 = 0$ ,  $0 < \mathcal{K}_{r,s} < \mathcal{K}_{r+1,s+1}$  and  $\mathcal{h}_{r,s} = \mathcal{K}_{r,s} - \mathcal{K}_{r-1,s-1} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $r, s \rightarrow \infty$ . The intervals determined by  $2\theta$  will be denoted by  $\mathbb{I}_{r,s} = (\mathcal{K}_{r-1,s-1}, \mathcal{K}_{r,s})$  and  $z_{r,s} = \mathcal{K}_{r,s} / \mathcal{K}_{r-1,s-1}$ . According to Freedman et al [5], we can define the space of lacunary strongly convergent double sequence  $2\mathcal{N}_\theta$  by

$$2\mathcal{N}_\theta = \{(u, v) : \lim_{r,s \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{h}_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} [|u_{n,m} - \epsilon| \vee |v_{n,m} - \epsilon|] = 0 \text{ for some } \epsilon\}$$

### Definition 1.2: [4]

A double Orlicz function is a function  $\mathcal{F} : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$  defined by  $\mathcal{F}(u, v) = (\mathcal{F}_1(u), \mathcal{F}_2(v))$  such that  $\mathcal{F}_1 : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  &  $\mathcal{F}_2 : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  are Orlicz functions which are continuous, non-decreasing, even, convex and satisfies the following conditions:

- $\mathcal{F}_1(0) = 0, \mathcal{F}_2(0) = 0$  implies that  $\mathcal{F}(0,0) = (\mathcal{F}_1(0), \mathcal{F}_2(0)) = (0,0)$
- $\mathcal{F}_1(u) > 0, \mathcal{F}_2(v) > 0$  implies that  $\mathcal{F}(u, v) = (\mathcal{F}_1(u), \mathcal{F}_2(v)) > (0,0)$  for  $u > 0, v > 0$ , we mean by  $\mathcal{F}(u, v) > (0,0)$  that  $\mathcal{F}_1(u) > 0, \mathcal{F}_2(v) > 0$
- $\mathcal{F}_1(u) \rightarrow \infty, \mathcal{F}_2(v) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $u \rightarrow \infty, v \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $\mathcal{F}(u, v) \rightarrow (\infty, \infty)$ .

### Definition 1.3:[4]

Let  $2\mathcal{W}$  be the spaces of all real or complex double sequence  $(u, v) = (u_{n,m}, v_{n,m})$ .

We can define a double Orlicz function on double sequence spaces by means of Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [8]

$$2\ell_{\mathcal{F}} = \{(u, v) : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1 \left( \frac{|u_{n,m}|}{\rho} \right) \vee \mathcal{F}_2 \left( \frac{|v_{n,m}|}{\rho} \right) \right] < \infty, \rho > 0\}$$

which is called a double Orlicz double sequences spaces  $2\ell_{\mathcal{F}}$  is a Banach space with a norm:

$$\|(u, v)\| = \inf\{\rho > 0 : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1 \left( \frac{|u_{n,m}|}{\rho} \right) \vee \mathcal{F}_2 \left( \frac{|v_{n,m}|}{\rho} \right) \right] \leq 1\}$$

According to Kizmaz [6], we define a double sequence spaces as  $2\ell_\infty(\Delta) = \{(u, v) = (u_{n,m}, v_{n,m}) : \sup_n [|\Delta u_{n,m}|, |v_{n,m}|] < \infty\}$

$2c(\Delta) = \{(u, v) = (u_{n,m}, v_{n,m}) : \lim_{n,m} [|\Delta u_{n,m} - \epsilon| \vee |v_{n,m} - \epsilon|] = 0, \text{ for some } \epsilon\}$

$2c_0(\Delta) = \{(u, v) = (u_{n,m}, v_{n,m}) : \lim_{n,m} [|\Delta u_{n,m}| \vee |v_{n,m}|] = 0\}$

where  $(\Delta u_{n,m}, \Delta v_{n,m}) = (u_{n,m} - u_{n+1,m+1}, v_{n,m} - v_{n+1,m+1})$

## 2. Main Results

In this part, we introduce a double sequence spaces and construct inclusion relations between double sequence spaces.

### Definition 2.1

Let  $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2)$  be a double Orlicz function and  $\mathbb{p} = \mathbb{p}_{n,m}$  be any bounded double sequence of strictly positive real numbers. Then

$$2\mathbb{w}_0^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{p})_\Delta = \{(u, v) : \lim_{r,s \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{h}_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1 \left( \frac{|\Delta u_{n,m}|}{\rho} \right)^{\mathbb{p}_{n,m}} \vee \mathcal{F}_2 \left( \frac{|\Delta v_{n,m}|}{\rho} \right)^{\mathbb{p}_{n,m}} \right] = 0, \rho > 0\}$$

$$2\mathbb{w}^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{p})_\Delta = \{(u, v) : \lim_{r,s \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{h}_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1 \left( \frac{|\Delta u_{n,m} - \epsilon|}{\rho} \right)^{\mathbb{p}_{n,m}} \vee \mathcal{F}_2 \left( \frac{|\Delta v_{n,m} - \epsilon|}{\rho} \right)^{\mathbb{p}_{n,m}} \right] = 0, \text{ for some } \epsilon, \rho > 0\}$$

$$2w_{\infty}^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_{\Delta} = \{(u, v) : \sup_{n,m} h_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1 \left( \frac{|\Delta u_{n,m}|}{\varrho} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \vee \mathcal{F}_2 \left( \frac{|\Delta v_{n,m}|}{\varrho} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \right] < \infty, \varrho > 0\}$$

If  $(u, v) \in 2w_{\infty}^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$ , we say that  $(u, v)$  is lacunary  $\Delta$ -convergence to  $t$  with respect to the double Orlicz function  $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2)$ . When  $\mathcal{F}(u, v) = (\mathcal{F}_1(u), \mathcal{F}_2(v)) = (u, v)$ , then we write  $2w_0^{2\theta}(\mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$ ,  $2w^{2\theta}(\mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$  and  $2w_{\infty}^{2\theta}(\mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$  for the spaces  $2w_0^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$ ,  $2w^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$  and  $2w_{\infty}^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$ , respectively. If  $\mathbb{P}_{n,m} = 1$  for all  $n, m$ , then  $2w_0^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$ ,  $2w^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$  and  $2w_{\infty}^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$  reduce to  $2w_0^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F})_{\Delta}$ ,  $2w^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F})_{\Delta}$  and  $2w_{\infty}^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F})_{\Delta}$ , respectively.

We need the following inequality in this paper,

$$|e_{n,m} + d_{n,m}|^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \leq \mathcal{C}(|e_{n,m}|^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} + |d_{n,m}|^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}}) \tag{1}$$

Where  $e_{n,m}$  and  $d_{n,m}$  are complex numbers,  $\mathcal{C} = \max(1, 2^{\mathcal{H}-1})$  and  $\mathcal{H} = \sup \mathbb{P}_{n,m} < \infty$

**Theorem 2.2**

Let  $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2)$  be a double Orlicz function and  $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_{n,m}$  be a bounded double sequence of strictly positive real numbers. Then  $2w_0^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$ ,  $2w^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$  and  $2w_{\infty}^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$  are vector spaces over the set of complex numbers.

**Proof**

Let  $(u, v), (p, q) \in 2w_0^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$  and let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then

$$\lim_{r,s \rightarrow \infty} h_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1 \left( \frac{|\Delta \alpha u_{n,m}|}{\varrho_1} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \vee \mathcal{F}_2 \left( \frac{|\Delta \alpha v_{n,m}|}{\varrho_1} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \right] = 0, \varrho_1 > 0$$

and

$$\lim_{r,s \rightarrow \infty} h_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1 \left( \frac{|\Delta \beta p_{n,m}|}{\varrho_2} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \vee \mathcal{F}_2 \left( \frac{|\Delta \beta q_{n,m}|}{\varrho_2} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \right] = 0, \varrho_2 > 0$$

Take  $\varrho = \max\{2|\alpha|\varrho_1, 2|\beta|\varrho_2\}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{r,s \rightarrow \infty} h_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1 \left( \frac{|\alpha \Delta u_{n,m} + \beta \Delta p_{n,m}|}{\varrho} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \vee \mathcal{F}_2 \left( \frac{|\alpha \Delta v_{n,m} + \beta \Delta q_{n,m}|}{\varrho} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \right] \leq \\ &\leq \lim_{r,s \rightarrow \infty} h_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1 \left( \frac{|\Delta u_{n,m}|}{\varrho_1} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \vee \mathcal{F}_2 \left( \frac{|\Delta v_{n,m}|}{\varrho_1} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \right] + \\ &\quad + \lim_{r,s \rightarrow \infty} h_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1 \left( \frac{|\Delta p_{n,m}|}{\varrho_2} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \vee \mathcal{F}_2 \left( \frac{|\Delta q_{n,m}|}{\varrho_2} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \right] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So,  $\alpha(u, v) + \beta(p, q) \in 2w_0^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$ . Therefore  $2w_0^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$  is a vector space.

Similarly, we can prove the other spaces.

**Theorem 2.3**

Let  $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2)$  be a double Orlicz function. If  $\sup_{n,m} (\mathcal{F}_1(u), \mathcal{F}_2(v))^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} < \infty$  for all  $u > 0, v > 0$ , then

$$2w^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_{\Delta} \subset 2w_{\infty}^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$$

**Proof**

Let  $(u, v) \in 2w^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$ . There exists some positive  $\varrho_1, \varrho_2$  such that

$$\lim_{r,s \rightarrow \infty} h_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1 \left( \frac{|\Delta u_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho_1} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \vee \mathcal{F}_2 \left( \frac{|\Delta v_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho_2} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \right] = 0$$

Set  $\varrho = (2\varrho_1, 2\varrho_2)$ . Since  $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2)$  is non-decreasing and convex, by using (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{r,s} h_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1 \left( \frac{|\Delta u_{n,m}|}{\varrho} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \vee \mathcal{F}_2 \left( \frac{|\Delta v_{n,m}|}{\varrho} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \right] = \\ &= \sup_{r,s} h_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1 \left( \frac{|\Delta u_{n,m} - t + t|}{\varrho} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \vee \mathcal{F}_2 \left( \frac{|\Delta v_{n,m} - t + t|}{\varrho} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \right] \\ &\leq \mathcal{C} \left\{ \sup_{r,s} h_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \frac{1}{2^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}}} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1 \left( \frac{|\Delta u_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho_1} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \vee \mathcal{F}_2 \left( \frac{|\Delta v_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho_2} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sup_{r,s} h_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \frac{1}{2^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}}} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1 \left( \frac{|t|}{\varrho_1} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \vee \mathcal{F}_2 \left( \frac{|t|}{\varrho_2} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &< \mathcal{C} \left\{ \sup_{r,s} h_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1 \left( \frac{|\Delta u_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho_1} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \vee \mathcal{F}_2 \left( \frac{|\Delta v_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho_2} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sup_{r,s} h_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1 \left( \frac{|t|}{\varrho_1} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \vee \mathcal{F}_2 \left( \frac{|t|}{\varrho_2} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \right] \right\} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(u, v) \in 2w_{\infty}^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$ . This completes the proof.

**Theorem 2.4**

Let  $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2)$  be a double Orlicz function and let  $0 < h < \inf \mathbb{P}_{n,m}$ . Then

$2w_{\infty}^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_{\Delta} \subset 2w_0^{2\theta}(\mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$  if and only if

$$\lim_{r,s \rightarrow \infty} h_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} [F_1(t)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \vee F_2(t)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}}] = \infty \tag{2}$$

For some  $t > 0$ .

**Proof**

Let  $2w_{\infty}^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_{\Delta} \subset 2w_0^{2\theta}(\mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$ . Suppose that (2) does not hold.

Therefore there are a subinterval  $\mathbb{I}_{(r,s)(m)}$  of the set of interval  $\mathbb{I}_{r,s}$  and a number  $t_0 > 0$ , where  $t_0 = \frac{|\Delta u_{n,m} \Delta v_{n,m}|}{\varrho}$  for all  $n, m$ , such that

$$h_{(r,s)(m)}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{(r,s)(m)}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{(r,s)(m)}} [F_1(t_0)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \vee F_2(t_0)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}}] \leq \mathcal{K} < \infty \tag{3}$$

Such that  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Let us define  $(u, v) = (u_{n,m}, v_{n,m})$  as following

$$(\Delta u_{n,m}, \Delta v_{n,m}) = \begin{cases} (\varrho t_0, \varrho t_0), & n, m \in \mathbb{I}_{(r,s)(m)} \\ (0, 0), & n, m \notin \mathbb{I}_{(r,s)(m)} \end{cases}$$

Thus by (3),  $(u, v) \in 2w_{\infty}^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$ . But  $(u, v) \notin 2w_0^{2\theta}(\mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$ . Hence (2) must be hold.

Conversely, suppose that (2) holds and that  $(u, v) \in 2w_{\infty}^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$ . Then, for each  $r, s$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{h}_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1 \left( \frac{|\Delta u_{n,m}|}{\varrho} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \vee \mathcal{F}_2 \left( \frac{|\Delta v_{n,m}|}{\varrho} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \right] &\leq \mathcal{K} \\ &< \infty \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Suppose that  $(u, v) \notin 2\mathfrak{w}_0^{2\theta}(\mathbb{P})_\Delta$ . Then, for some number  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , there is a number  $n_0, m_0$  such that, for a subinterval  $\mathbb{I}_{r_1, s_1}$  of the set of interval  $\mathbb{I}_{r,s}$ ,  $\varepsilon < \frac{|\Delta u_{n,m}, \Delta v_{n,m}|}{\varrho}$  for  $n_0 \leq n, m_0 \leq m$ . From properties of the double Orlicz function, we can write

$$[\mathcal{F}_1(\varepsilon)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \vee \mathcal{F}_2(\varepsilon)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}}] \leq \mathcal{F}_1 \left( \frac{|\Delta u_{n,m}|}{\varrho} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \vee \mathcal{F}_2 \left( \frac{|\Delta v_{n,m}|}{\varrho} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}}$$

Which contradicts (2), by using (4). Hence we get  $2\mathfrak{w}_\infty^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_\Delta \subset 2\mathfrak{w}_0^{2\theta}(\mathbb{P})_\Delta$ . This completes the proof.

**Definition 2.5:[7]**

The Orlicz function  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to satisfy the  $\Delta_2$ -condition for all values of  $x, y$ , if there exists a constant  $L > 0$  such that [15 and 16]  
 $\mathcal{F}(2x, 2y) \leq L\mathcal{F}(x, y), x \geq 0, y \geq 0$

**Theorem 2.6**

Let  $0 < \mathfrak{h} = \inf \mathbb{P}_{n,m} \leq \mathbb{P}_{n,m} \leq \sup \mathbb{P}_{n,m} = \mathcal{H} < \infty$ . For a double Orlicz function  $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2)$  which satisfies  $\Delta_2$ -condition, we have  $2\mathfrak{w}_0^{2\theta}(\mathbb{P})_\Delta \subset 2\mathfrak{w}_0^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_\Delta$ ,  $2\mathfrak{w}^{2\theta}(\mathbb{P})_\Delta \subset 2\mathfrak{w}^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_\Delta$  and  $2\mathfrak{w}_\infty^{2\theta}(\mathbb{P})_\Delta \subset 2\mathfrak{w}_\infty^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_\Delta$ .

**Proof**

Let  $(u, v) \in 2\mathfrak{w}^{2\theta}(\mathbb{P})_\Delta$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{h}_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1 \left( \frac{|\Delta u_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \vee \mathcal{F}_2 \left( \frac{|\Delta v_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \right] \\ \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r, s \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

for some  $t$ .

Let  $0 < \varepsilon$  and choose  $\delta$  with  $1 > \delta > 0$  such that  $[\mathcal{F}_1(t) \vee \mathcal{F}_2 t] < \varepsilon$  for  $0 \leq t \leq \delta$ . We can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{h}_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1 \left( \frac{|\Delta u_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \vee \mathcal{F}_2 \left( \frac{|\Delta v_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \right] = \\ = \mathfrak{h}_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1 \left( \frac{|\Delta u_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \right. \\ \left. |\Delta u_{n,m} - t|/\varrho \leq \delta, |\Delta v_{n,m} - t|/\varrho \leq \delta \right. \\ \left. \vee \mathcal{F}_2 \left( \frac{|\Delta v_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \right] + \\ + \mathfrak{h}_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1 \left( \frac{|\Delta u_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \right. \\ \left. |\Delta u_{n,m} - t|/\varrho > \delta, |\Delta v_{n,m} - t|/\varrho > \delta \right. \\ \left. \vee \mathcal{F}_2 \left( \frac{|\Delta v_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

For the first summation above, we immediately write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{h}_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1 \left( \frac{|\Delta u_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \right. \\ \left. |\Delta u_{n,m} - t|/\varrho \leq \delta, |\Delta v_{n,m} - t|/\varrho \leq \delta \right. \\ \left. \vee \mathcal{F}_2 \left( \frac{|\Delta v_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \right] < \\ < \max(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\mathfrak{h}}) \end{aligned}$$

by using continuity of  $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2)$ . For the second summation, we will make following procedure. We have

$$\left[ \left( \frac{|\Delta u_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho} \right) \vee \left( \frac{|\Delta v_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho} \right) \right] < 1 + \left[ \left( \frac{|\Delta u_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho} \right) \vee \left( \frac{|\Delta v_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho} \right) \right] / \delta.$$

Since  $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2)$  is non-decreasing and convex, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1 \left( \frac{|\Delta u_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho} \right) \vee \mathcal{F}_2 \left( \frac{|\Delta v_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho} \right) \right] < \\ < \left[ \mathcal{F}_1 \left\{ 1 + \left( \frac{|\Delta u_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho} \right) / \delta \right\} \vee \mathcal{F}_2 \left\{ 1 + \left( \frac{|\Delta v_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho} \right) / \delta \right\} \right] \leq \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} [\mathcal{F}_1(2) \vee \mathcal{F}_2(2)] \\ + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1 \left\{ 2 \left( \frac{|\Delta u_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho} \right) / \delta \right\} \right. \\ \left. \vee \mathcal{F}_2 \left\{ 2 \left( \frac{|\Delta v_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho} \right) / \delta \right\} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2)$  satisfies  $\Delta_2$ -condition, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1 \left( \frac{|\Delta u_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho} \right) \vee \mathcal{F}_2 \left( \frac{|\Delta v_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho} \right) \right] \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} L \left\{ \left( \frac{|\Delta u_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho} \right) / \delta \right. \\ \left. \vee \left( \frac{|\Delta v_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho} \right) / \delta \right\} (\mathcal{F}_1(2) \vee \mathcal{F}_2(2)) \\ + \frac{1}{2} L \left\{ \left( \frac{|\Delta u_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho} \right) / \delta \right. \\ \left. \vee \left( \frac{|\Delta v_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho} \right) / \delta \right\} (\mathcal{F}_1(2) \vee \mathcal{F}_2(2)) \\ = L \left\{ \left( \frac{|\Delta u_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho} \right) / \delta \right. \\ \left. \vee \left( \frac{|\Delta v_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho} \right) / \delta \right\} (\mathcal{F}_1(2) \vee \mathcal{F}_2(2)) \end{aligned}$$

In this way, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{h}_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1 \left( \frac{|\Delta u_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \vee \mathcal{F}_2 \left( \frac{|\Delta v_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \right] \\ \leq \max(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\mathfrak{h}}) + \\ + \max\{1, [L(\mathcal{F}_1(2) \vee \mathcal{F}_2(2))/\delta]^{\mathfrak{h}}\} \mathfrak{h}_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1 \left( \frac{|\Delta u_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \right. \\ \left. \vee \mathcal{F}_2 \left( \frac{|\Delta v_{n,m} - t|}{\varrho} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  and  $r, s \rightarrow \infty$ , it follows that  $(u, v) \in 2\mathfrak{w}^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_\Delta$  [15]

Following similar arguments we can prove that  $2\mathfrak{w}_0^{2\theta}(\mathbb{P})_\Delta \subset 2\mathfrak{w}_0^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_\Delta$  and  $2\mathfrak{w}_\infty^{2\theta}(\mathbb{P})_\Delta \subset 2\mathfrak{w}_\infty^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_\Delta$

After step of this section, different inclusion relations among these double sequencespaces are going to be studied. Now we have

**Theorem 2.7**

Let  $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2)$  be a double Orlicz function. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- i.  $2\mathfrak{w}_\infty^{2\theta}(\mathbb{P})_\Delta \subset 2\mathfrak{w}_\infty^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_\Delta$
- ii.  $2\mathfrak{w}_0^{2\theta}(\mathbb{P})_\Delta \subset 2\mathfrak{w}_0^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_\Delta$
- iii.  $\sup_{r,s} \mathfrak{h}_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} [\mathcal{F}_1(t)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \vee \mathcal{F}_2(t)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}}] < \infty$  for all  $t > 0$ .

**Proof**

i)  $\Rightarrow$  ii): Let (i) holds. To verify (ii), it is enough to prove  $2\mathfrak{w}_0^{2\theta}(\mathbb{P})_\Delta \subset 2\mathfrak{w}_\infty^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_\Delta$ .

Let  $(u, v) \in 2\mathfrak{w}_0^{2\theta}(\mathbb{P})_\Delta$ . Then, there exist  $r_0, s_0$ , for  $0 < \varepsilon$ , such that

$$\mathfrak{h}_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1 \left( \frac{|\Delta u_{n,m}|}{\varrho} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \vee \mathcal{F}_2 \left( \frac{|\Delta v_{n,m}|}{\varrho} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \right] < \varepsilon$$

Hence there exists  $\mathcal{K} > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{r,s} \mathfrak{h}_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1 \left( \frac{|\Delta u_{n,m}|}{\varrho} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \vee \mathcal{F}_2 \left( \frac{|\Delta v_{n,m}|}{\varrho} \right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \right] < \mathcal{K}$$

So, we get  $(u, v) \in 2w_{\infty}^{2\theta}(\mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$

ii)  $\Rightarrow$  iii): Let (ii) holds. Suppose that (iii) does not holds. Then for some  $t > 0$

$$\sup_{r,s} h_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} [\mathcal{F}_1(t)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \vee \mathcal{F}_2(t)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}}] = \infty$$

And therefore we can find a subinterval  $\mathbb{I}_{(r,s)(m)}$  of the set of interval  $\mathbb{I}_{r,s}$  such that

$$h_{(r,s)(m)}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{(r,s)(m)}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{(r,s)(m)}} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \vee \mathcal{F}_2\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \right] > m, m = 1, 2, 3, \dots \tag{5}$$

Let us define  $(u, v) = (u_{n,m}, v_{n,m})$  as following

$$(\Delta u_{n,m}, \Delta v_{n,m}) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{q}{m}, \frac{q}{m}\right), & n, m \in \mathbb{I}_{(r,s)(m)} \\ (0, 0), & n, m \notin \mathbb{I}_{(r,s)(m)} \end{cases}$$

Then  $(u, v) \in 2w_0^{2\theta}(\mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$  but by (5),  $(u, v) \notin 2w_{\infty}^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$ , which contradicts (ii).

Hence (iii) must holds.

iii)  $\Rightarrow$  i): Let (iii) hold and  $(u, v) \in 2w_{\infty}^{2\theta}(\mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$ . Suppose that  $(u, v) \notin 2w_{\infty}^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$ . Then for  $(u, v) \in 2w_{\infty}^{2\theta}(\mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$

$$\sup_{r,s} h_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1\left(\frac{|\Delta u_{n,m}|}{q}\right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \vee \mathcal{F}_2\left(\frac{|\Delta v_{n,m}|}{q}\right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \right] = \infty \tag{6}$$

Let  $t = \frac{|\Delta u_{n,m}, \Delta v_{n,m}|}{q}$  for each n, m, then by (6)

$$\sup_{r,s} h_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} [\mathcal{F}_1(t)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \vee \mathcal{F}_2(t)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}}] = \infty$$

Which contradicts (iii). Hence (i) must holds.

**Theorem 2.8**

Let  $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2)$  be a double Orlicz function. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- i)  $2w_0^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_{\Delta} \subset 2w_0^{2\theta}(\mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$
- ii)  $2w_{\infty}^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_{\Delta} \subset 2w_{\infty}^{2\theta}(\mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$
- iii)  $\inf_{r,s} h_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} [\mathcal{F}_1(t)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \vee \mathcal{F}_2(t)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}}] < \infty$  for all  $t > 0$ .

**Proof**

i)  $\Rightarrow$  ii): It is obvious.

ii)  $\Rightarrow$  iii): Let (ii) holds. Suppose that (iii) does not holds. Then

$$\inf_{r,s} h_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} [\mathcal{F}_1(t)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \vee \mathcal{F}_2(t)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}}] = 0 \text{ for some } t > 0,$$

And we can find a subinterval  $\mathbb{I}_{(r,s)(m)}$  of the set of interval  $\mathbb{I}_{r,s}$  such that

$$h_{(r,s)(m)}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{(r,s)(m)}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{(r,s)(m)}} [\mathcal{F}_1(m)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \vee \mathcal{F}_2(m)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}}] < \frac{1}{m}, m = 1, 2, 3, \dots \tag{7}$$

Let us define  $(u, v) = (u_{n,m}, v_{n,m})$  as following

$$(\Delta u_{n,m}, \Delta v_{n,m}) = \begin{cases} (qm, qm), & n, m \in \mathbb{I}_{(r,s)(m)} \\ (0, 0), & n, m \notin \mathbb{I}_{(r,s)(m)} \end{cases}$$

Thus, by (7)  $(u, v) \in 2w_0^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$  but  $(u, v) \notin 2w_{\infty}^{2\theta}(\mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$  which contradicts (ii). Hence (iii) must holds.

iii)  $\Rightarrow$  i): Let (iii) holds. Suppose that  $(u, v) \in 2w_{\infty}^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$ . Therefore,

$$\sup_{r,s} h_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1\left(\frac{|\Delta u_{n,m}|}{q}\right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \vee \mathcal{F}_2\left(\frac{|\Delta v_{n,m}|}{q}\right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \right] \rightarrow 0 \tag{8}$$

As  $r, s \rightarrow \infty$ . Again, suppose that  $(u, v) \notin 2w_0^{2\theta}(\mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$  for some number  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a subinterval  $\mathbb{I}_{(r,s)(m)}$  of the set of interval  $\mathbb{I}_{r,s}$ , we have  $\left(\frac{|\Delta u_{n,m} - t, \Delta v_{n,m} - t|}{q}\right) \geq \varepsilon$  for all n, m.

Then, from properties of the double Orlicz function, we can write

$$\left[ \mathcal{F}_1\left(\frac{|\Delta u_{n,m}|}{q}\right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \vee \mathcal{F}_2\left(\frac{|\Delta v_{n,m}|}{q}\right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \right] \geq [\mathcal{F}_1(\varepsilon)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \vee \mathcal{F}_2(\varepsilon)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}}]$$

Consequently, by (8) we have

$$\lim_{r,s \rightarrow \infty} h_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} [\mathcal{F}_1(\varepsilon)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \vee \mathcal{F}_2(\varepsilon)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}}] = 0$$

Which contradicts (iii). Hence (i) must holds.

Finally, in this section, we consider that  $\mathbb{P}_{n,m}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}_{n,m}$  are any bounded double sequences of strictly positive real numbers. We are able to prove  $2w^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{Q})_{\Delta} \subseteq 2w^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$  only under additional conditions.

**Theorem 2.9**

i) If  $0 \leq \inf \mathbb{P}_{n,m} \leq \mathbb{P}_{n,m} \leq 1$  for all k, then  $2w^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F})_{\Delta} \subseteq 2w^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$

ii)  $0 \leq \mathbb{P}_{n,m} \leq \sup \mathbb{P}_{n,m} = \mathcal{H} < \infty$ , then  $2w^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_{\Delta} \subseteq 2w^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F})_{\Delta}$

**Proof**

i) Let  $(u, v) \in 2w^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$  since  $0 \leq \inf \mathbb{P}_{n,m} \leq \mathbb{P}_{n,m} \leq 1$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} h_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1\left(\frac{|\Delta u_{n,m} - t|}{q}\right) \vee \mathcal{F}_2\left(\frac{|\Delta v_{n,m} - t|}{q}\right) \right] &\leq \\ &\leq h_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1\left(\frac{|\Delta u_{n,m} - t|}{q}\right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \vee \mathcal{F}_2\left(\frac{|\Delta v_{n,m} - t|}{q}\right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

And hence  $(u, v) \in 2w^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F})_{\Delta}$ .

Let  $0 \leq \mathbb{P}_{n,m} \leq \sup \mathbb{P}_{n,m} = \mathcal{H} < \infty$ , and  $(u, v) \in 2w^{2\theta}(\mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$ . Then for each  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  there exists a positive integer  $r_0, s_0$  such that

$$h_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1\left(\frac{|\Delta u_{n,m} - t|}{q}\right) \vee \mathcal{F}_2\left(\frac{|\Delta v_{n,m} - t|}{q}\right) \right] \leq \varepsilon < 1$$

for all  $r \geq r_0, s \geq s_0$ . This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} h_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1\left(\frac{|\Delta u_{n,m} - t|}{q}\right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \vee \mathcal{F}_2\left(\frac{|\Delta v_{n,m} - t|}{q}\right)^{\mathbb{P}_{n,m}} \right] &\leq \\ &\leq h_{r,s}^{-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{I}_{r,s}} \left[ \mathcal{F}_1\left(\frac{|\Delta u_{n,m} - t|}{q}\right) \vee \mathcal{F}_2\left(\frac{|\Delta v_{n,m} - t|}{q}\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $(u, v) \in 2w^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$ .

Using the same technique as in Theorem 2 in [14], it is easy to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.10**

Let  $0 < \mathbb{P}_{n,m} \leq \mathbb{Q}_{n,m}$  for all n, m and let  $(\mathbb{Q}_{n,m}/\mathbb{P}_{n,m})$  be bounded. Then

$$2w^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{Q})_{\Delta} \subseteq 2w^{2\theta}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})_{\Delta}$$

**References**

- [1] Altay B & Basar F, "Some new spaces of double sequences", J. Math. Anal. Appl., Vol.309, (2005), pp.70-90.
- [2] Alotaibi A, Mursaleen M & Raj K, "Double Sequence Spaces by Means of Orlicz Functions", Abstract and Applied Analysis, (2014).

- [3] Basarir M & Sonalcan O, "On Some Double Sequence Spaces", Indian Academy of Mathematics Journal, Vol.21, No.2, (1999), pp. 193–200.
- [4] Battor AH & Hasan ZH, "Statistical Convergent of Generalized Difference Double Sequence Spaces which Defined by Orlicz Functions", M.Ss Thesis, Univ. of Kufa, (2017).
- [5] Freedman AR, Sember JJ & Raphael M, "Some Cesaro-type summability spaces", Proc. London Math. Soc., Vol.37, No.3, (1978), pp.508-520.
- [6] Kizmaz H, "On certain sequence spaces", Canad.Math.Bull., Vol.24, No.2, (1981), pp.169-176.
- [7] Krasnoselskii MA & Rutitsky YB, "Convex function and Orlicz spaces", Groningen, The Netherlands, (1961).
- [8] Lindenstrauss J & Tzafriri L, "On Orlicz sequence spaces", Israel J. Math., Vol.10, No.3, (1971), pp.379-390.
- [9] Parashar SD & Choudhary B, "Sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions", Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol.25, No.14, (1994), pp.419-428.
- [10] Tripathy BC & Mahanta S, "On a class of generalized lacunary difference sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions", Acta Math. Appl. Sinica (English Ser.), Vol.20, (2004), pp.231–238.
- [11] Tripathy BC & Sarma B, "On some classes of difference double sequence spaces", Fasciculi Mathematici, Vol.41, (2009), pp.135-142.
- [12] Tripathy BC & Sarma B, "Some difference double sequence spaces defined by Orlicz function", Kyungpook Mathematical Journal, Vol.58, (2008).
- [13] Tripathy BC & Sarma B, "Vector Valued Double Sequence Spaces Defined by Orlicz Function", Math. Slovaca, Vol.59, No.6, (2009), pp.767-776.
- [14] Öztürk E & Bilgin T, "Strongly summable sequence spaces defined by a modulus", Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., Vol.25, No.6, (1994), pp.621-625.
- [15] Yesembayeva Z, "Determination of the pedagogical conditions for forming the readiness of future primary school teachers", Opción, Año, Vol.33, (2018), pp.475-499
- [16] Mussabekova G, Chakanova S, Boranbayeva A, Utebayeva A, Kazybaeva K & Alshynbaev K, "Structural conceptual model of forming readiness for innovative activity of future teachers in general education school", Opción, Vol.34, No.85, (2018).