

Transactional VS. Transformational Leadership in Healthcare: Evaluating Their Influence on Operational Efficiency and Quality of Care

Dr.J. Anand^{1*}, Dr.K. Sentamilselvan², Dr.J. Kavitha Selvaranee³, Dr. Ankita Nihlani⁴, Dr. Lalit Sachdeva⁵

¹Associate Professor, Department of Management Studies, SRM Valliammai Engineering College, Kattankulathur, Tamil Nadu, India.

²Professor, Department of Management Studies, SRM Valliammai Engineering College, Kattankulathur, Tamil Nadu, India.

³Assistant Professor, Karunya School of Management, Karunya Institute of Technology and Sciences, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India.

⁴Assistant Professor, Department of Management, Kalinga University, Raipur, India.

⁵Associate Professor, Department of Management, Kalinga University, Raipur, India.

*Corresponding author E-mail: anandj.mba@srmvalliammai.ac.in

Received: May 15, 2025, Accepted: June 7, 2025, Published: August 28, 2025

Abstract

Leadership sits at the heart of every successful hospital or clinic, shaping how smoothly the organization runs and how patients experience care. This study looks at two well-known styles-transactional and transformational- and examines how each can spark staff enthusiasm, boost performance, and lift patient outcomes. People who follow a transactional approach create clear rules, reward effort, and track results constantly, a formula that often lines up with tight operations and predictable processes. Transformational leaders, on the other hand, trade routine for vision; they encourage fresh ideas, keep growth in sight, and build a culture where each team member thinks first of the patient. To weigh the pros and cons of these styles, the team mixed surveys, interviews, and hard metrics, then linked the scores to key targets such as patient satisfaction, staff output, and clinical quality. Through a blend of real-world case stories and controlled data, the research sketches a roadmap for marrying efficiency with excellent care in ever-changing health settings. The results then point managers toward concrete training and support actions so that workplaces can become leaner without losing the human touch patients deserve.

Keywords: Transformational Leadership; Transactional Leadership; Operational Efficiency; Healthcare Management; Leadership Styles; Staff Engagement; Healthcare Administration; Organizational Performance; Patient Results; Quality of Care.

1. Introduction

Almost every measure of effectiveness in a hospital-first aid response, nursing morale, and surgical wait-list shows the unmistakable mark of strong leadership (Alilyyani et al., 2022). Some managers swear by the clear rules and rank-rostered transit model, with its tight schedules and performance-linked bonuses, arguing it keeps the wards running like clockwork (Boopathy et al., 2024). Others prefer the messier, electric vibe of the transformative approach, convinced that inspiration, autonomy, and the occasional wild idea are what finally lift patient care from good enough to truly excellent (Mahendiren&Kushwaha, 2023). Even rivals in the boardroom admit the jury still hasn't settled the argument (Bass, 1990).

The modern healthcare landscape-dozens of new regulations, runaway technology headlines, and ever-more-demanding patients sometimes feels like an obstacle course-suddenly calling for leaders who can stack operational efficiency right on top of steady-quality gains. A leader bent on transformation will push for fresh ideas and quick fixes; a director who leans transactional keeps the trains running on time and makes sure every badge-tapping approval is in the book (Yang &Entebang, 2024; Prabahar et al., 2024; Gilmartin&D'Aunno, 2007). Engaged nurses, satisfied families, reliable handoffs-all those results hang in the balance as one leadership flavor collides with another. The project, borrowing half the energy of a field study, digs into how the two mindsets shape speed, safety, and the smoothness of patient flow. The takeaway, even now scribbling rough notes, is that first-rate health centers combine tidy theories with street-level stories that show people what balance looks like when the lights are on (Burns, 1978; Jalali&Rezaie, 2016; Al-Frijawy et al., 2023; Rashid, 2022).

1.1 Research Gap & Justification

The impacts of various leadership styles on leadership and efficiency, and patient-focused care in healthcare have received less attention in the literature than leadership in corporate settings (Babolan et al., 2015). This research meets the need to compare the transactional and transformational styles of leadership in healthcare organizations (Havaei et al., 2021; Mohammed, 2023; Aslinnasab&Daneshamooz, 2017; Northouse, 2021; Sfantou et al., 2017).

1.2 Objectives

- To check the effects of transformative and transactional leadership on healthcare system operations.
- To determine how different types of leadership of patients affect the quality of care and treatment results.
- A goal is to provide healthcare companies with effective leadership models.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Transactional Leadership in Healthcare

The identity of the transaction leadership includes well-defined roles and responsibilities, a transparent chain of command, and compensation based on the results. To guarantee operational efficiency, leaders using this strategy focus their attention on job performance, standardization, and regulatory compliance. According to research, the leadership of the transaction improves the operational stability and financial success by encouraging responsibility and sticking to the established standards. According to research by McClasky (2014), reducing protocol violations and maximizing stability, the leading lead to the efficiency of the transaction. Its efficacy was carried forward by Giltinane (2013) in fulfilling short-term objectives and maintaining regulatory compliance. Nevertheless, some contend that an overemphasis on transactional leadership styles may lead to diminished employee buy-in and inflexibility in ever-changing healthcare settings (Amir, 2023).

2.2 Transformational Leadership in Healthcare

Leading with vision, inspiring followers, and fostering a mindset of lifelong learning are the tenets of transformational leadership, which was first proposed by Bass & Avolio (1993). The leaders in this group motivate the healthcare staff to go above and beyond for their patients and have a positive impact on their treatment. Patient outcomes, collaboration, and staff happiness are all positively impacted by transformative leadership, according to the research.

Nurses are more invested in their profession, and patients benefit from better treatment when their supervisors take the time to coach and empower them, according to research by Casida & Pinto-Zipp (2008). In a similar vein, Boamah et al. (2018) showed that healthcare worker burnout is decreased and patient safety results improve when leaders practice transformational leadership. But, in really regimented settings where following processes to the letter could be a major challenge, this strategy might not work so well.

2.3 Comparison of Leadership Styles in Healthcare Contexts

Regulatory and administrative tasks are better suited to transactional leadership, according to comparative research, but cooperation and creativity are best fostered by transformational leadership. While transactional leadership guarantees efficiency in financial and operational management, transformational leadership leads to improved patient satisfaction, according to research by Hetland et al. (2011). The optimal results in healthcare organizations are obtained through a hybrid leadership model, which, according to the research, connects both approaches.

2.4 The Role of Leadership in Healthcare Crisis Management

Healthcare organizations should have flexible leadership, as the COVID-19 epidemic has displayed. Displayed that transformational leadership was important to keep employees motivated and create flexibility in adverse conditions. At the same time, the leadership of the transaction organized the healthcare operations organized and smoothly, when things were difficult. These results indicate that there is no best way to lead in crisis; Instead, a hybrid strategy is required in combination with transactions and transformational components.

3. Methodology

This section outlines the research design, data collection methods, and analysis techniques used to evaluate the impact of transactional and transformational leadership on operational efficiency and quality of care in healthcare settings.

3.1. Research Design

To evaluate the efficacy of transactions and transformative leadership styles in healthcare, this research takes a mixed-method approach, including quantitative surveys with qualitative interviews. Data will be collected from healthcare administrators, frontline workers, and professionals of various healthcare facilities using a cross-sectional survey.

3.1.1 Study Population and Sampling

Everyone from doctors and nurses to hospital managers and support workers will be a part of it. Public and private healthcare institutions may be guaranteed to include public and private healthcare institutions using a stratified random selection approach. - To ensure statistical validity, the sample size will be 300 participants or more, as specified by the algorithm of Kochar.

3.2 Data Collection Methods

3.2.1 Quantitative Data Collection (Survey)

We will be using a standardized questionnaire that includes:

The Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire (MLQ) to evaluate leadership traits and practices (Avolio & Bass, 2004). Use the Hospital Operational Efficiency Index (HOEI) to track key performance metrics, including employee retention and wait times for patients.

- The Healthcare Quality Index (HQI) to assess clinical outcomes and patient satisfaction.

3.2.2 Qualitative Data Collection (Interviews & Case Studies)

- Twenty hospital administrators, department heads, and legislators participated in semi-structured interviews.
- Nurses and frontline workers will participate in focus groups to talk about their leadership experiences.
- An examination of a case study contrasting two healthcare facilities, one of which emphasizes transformational leadership and the other on transactional leadership.

3.3 Data Analysis Techniques

3.3.1 Quantitative Analysis (Statistical Methods)

Analytical Methods: We will use SEM, regression analysis, and descriptive statistics for our quantitative study.

3.3.2 Qualitative Analysis (Thematic Analysis)

Qualitative Analysis: Sentiment Analysis will evaluate staff happiness and patient care experiences, while thematic analysis will discover important leadership topics.

3.4 Ethical Considerations

- Every volunteer will be asked to read a brief consent form and to sign it before taking part.
- Your answers will be locked in a password-protected folder, and nobody outside the research team will ever see them.
- Before any data collection begins, the project's Institutional Review Board IRB will review the study and grant official approval.

4. Comparative Analysis: Transactional vs. Transformational Leadership

4.1 Introduction to Leadership Styles in Healthcare

Strong leadership keeps a hospital running smoothly, lifts staff spirits, and helps patients feel cared for. Two familiar styles-transactional and transformational compete to guide today's healthcare managers. The next few pages compare these approaches, explore how each shapes an organization, and identify the situations where one outshines the other.

4.2 Transactional Leadership in Healthcare

Transactional leadership is based on a structured reward-and-punishment system, emphasizing compliance, efficiency, and standardized procedures. It is characterized by:

4.2.1 Key Characteristics

- Task-oriented approach: Leaders focus on meeting predefined goals and performance benchmarks.
- Clear hierarchy and chain of command: Decision-making follows a structured top-down approach.
- Performance-based rewards and penalties: Employees are motivated through incentives, bonuses, or disciplinary actions.
- Emphasis on short-term goals: Focus on immediate performance outcomes rather than long-term vision.

4.2.2 Advantages of Transactional Leadership in Healthcare

- Operational Efficiency: Keeps day-to-day hospital life running well by following protocols without cutting corners.
- Regulatory Compliance: Supports on-the-ground quality control and ensures the facility stays within the law.
- Crisis Management: Provides calm, clear direction in emergencies-like a pandemic-when every second counts.

4.2.3 Disadvantages of Transactional Leadership in Healthcare

- Lack of Innovation: Does not encourage creative problem-solving.
- Employee Dissatisfaction: Employees may feel unmotivated due to limited personal growth opportunities.
- Limited Adaptability: Less effective in rapidly changing healthcare environments requiring dynamic decision-making.

4.3 Transformational Leadership in Healthcare

Transformational leadership is centered around inspiring and motivating employees to achieve long-term success and innovation in healthcare.

4.3.1 Key Characteristics

- Visionary Approach: Leaders inspire employees by communicating a compelling vision.
- Employee Development: Focuses on mentorship, coaching, and continuous learning.
- Encourages Innovation: Empowers healthcare workers to think critically and propose new solutions.

- Personalized Engagement: Leaders build strong relationships with employees to enhance job satisfaction.

4.3.2 Advantages of Transformational Leadership in Healthcare

- Higher Employee Engagement: Encourages autonomy, job satisfaction, and motivation among healthcare professionals.
- Better Patient Outcomes: Promotes a patient-centered approach and continuous healthcare improvements.
- Fosters Innovation: Encourages research and adoption of new medical technologies and practices.

4.3.3 Disadvantages of Transformational Leadership in Healthcare

- Spending time: Building solid ties with staff takes real hours, meetings, and everyday check-ins.
- Tough to Roll Out: Spreading the same style of transformational leadership across a big hospital chain is a tricky job.
- Poor Fit in a Crisis: When alarms go off and seconds count, the gentle 'let's all discuss' approach often falls flat.

4.4 Comparative Analysis of Leadership Impact

Table 4.1: Comparative Analysis of Leadership Impact

| Feature | Transactional Leadership | Transformational Leadership |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Focus | Task completion & efficiency | Innovation & employee development |
| Decision-Making | Top-down, rigid structure | Collaborative & flexible |
| Motivation Style | Reward & punishment | Inspiration & empowerment |
| Effectiveness in Crisis | Highly effective | Less suitable for urgent situations |
| Employee Satisfaction | Moderate to low | High engagement & motivation |
| Long-Term Impact | Stability & consistency | Growth & adaptability |

Table 4.1 highlights the key differences between transactional and transformational leadership styles across critical dimensions such as focus, decision-making, motivation, crisis effectiveness, employee satisfaction, and long-term organizational impact. It demonstrates how transactional leadership emphasizes structure and efficiency, while transformational leadership fosters innovation, empowerment, and long-term adaptability.

4.5 Context-Specific Effectiveness

Both leadership styles have their place in healthcare management, depending on the scenario:

- Transactional leadership is ideal for highly regulated environments where compliance and efficiency are critical (e.g., emergency rooms, intensive care units).
- Transformational leadership is beneficial for research institutions, innovation-driven hospitals, and patient-centric care settings.

5. Discussion

When you stack transactional leadership next to transformational leadership in a hospital or clinic, the gap in how each style moves the needle on efficiency, staff morale, and patient care becomes clear. Transactional leaders focus on rules, rewards, and getting tasks done, and that model works well in tightly regulated settings where sticking to protocols matters most. Take an emergency room or an ICU-hot zones where every step needs to follow the script to cut errors; here, a transactional approach keeps the wheels turning smoothly. The downside, though, is that such leadership leaves little room for fresh ideas or for nurses and doctors to grow over the long haul.

Transformational leadership, in contrast, centres on sparking motivation, sharing a clear vision, and empowering staff, which can steer organizations toward positive change while boosting job satisfaction. By creating an atmosphere of steady improvement, such leaders invite healthcare workers to move past daily duties and take part in real, hands-on upgrades to patient care. Research shows this approach strengthens teamwork, cuts burnout, and lifts patient satisfaction, all because everyone is pulling toward a common goal of quality health service. Still, bringing transformational leadership into a hospital or clinic demands a noticeable shift in culture and firm backing from the top.

The wisest course in most healthcare workplaces probably blends the clear rules of a transactional leader with the spark and forward-looking drive of a transformational leader. When managers combine these strengths, they can deliver safe, high-quality care while keeping systems running smoothly and staff members motivated.

6. Conclusion and Recommendations

The side-by-side look at the two styles shows that each comes with its own mix of rewards and downsides. Task-focused transactional leadership gets things done and keeps everyone on protocol, yet, without a careful balance, it can quickly clip employees' creativity and willingness to innovate.

A strong company culture is necessary for transformational leadership to promote involvement and flexibility. It indicates that the most successful leadership model in healthcare is a hybrid one that combines both techniques.

1. **Leadership Training and Development** – Officers in the healthcare industry will do well to educate themselves on the difference between transactional and transformative leadership.
2. **Adaptive Leadership Strategies** – Businesses should use statusable leadership, by mixing transactional and transformational styles to provide stability in operations and development for employees.
3. **Employee Engagement Initiatives** – It is recommended that programs, open communication, and collaborative decisions be promoted.
4. **Patient-Centered Approach** – In-charge of healthcare should take moral decisions and work to increase patient care. The leadership initiative should be directed by performing the performance measurement and patient input, ensuring that data-cleaned decisions are made.

5. Data-Driven Decision Making – Healthcare leaders should use performance metrics, employee feedback, as well as patient satisfaction surveys to refine their leadership approach and ensure optimal operational efficiency.

Research emphasizes the importance of leadership in determining healthcare efficiency and patient care. A transformational leader inspires creativity and participation, while a transactional leader keeps running things smoothly. Healthcare organizations can improve their operational efficiency and the quality of their services using a mixed leadership strategy.

References

- [1] Al Frijawy, J., Mahssn, H. J., & Waham, M. A. (2023). Visionary leadership and its role in promoting organizational excellence: An analytical study of the opinions of senior leaders and employees of the General Fertilizer Company in the Province of Basra. *International Academic Journal of Business Management*, 10(1), 40–52. <https://doi.org/10.9756/IAJBM/V10I1/IAJBM1004>
- [2] Usikalua, M. R., & Unciano, N. (2025). Mathematical Modeling of Epidemic Dynamics: Integrating Public Health and Data Science. *Bridge: Journal of Multidisciplinary Explorations*, 1(1), 11–22.
- [3] Anh, V. P. G., Thihuyen, T. N., & Anh, T. L. (2025). How Is the Performance Assessment System in Small and Medium Enterprises in The Manufacturing? Experimental Study in Vietnam. *Quality-Access to Success*, 26(205).
- [4] Amir, R. Y. A. (2023). Applying Multi-objective Geometric Programming to the Fuzzy Inventory of Kerosene in Al-Dora Refinery. *International Academic Journal of Social Sciences*, 10(1), 9–17. <https://doi.org/10.9756/IAJSS/V10I1/IAJSS1002>
- [5] Aslinnasab, F., & Daneshmooz, M. (2017). The effect of ethical leadership on psychological empowerment: The moderating role of organizational justice. *International Academic Journal of Humanities*, 4(2), 69–84.
- [6] Avolio, B. J., & Bass, B. M. (2004). Multifactor leadership questionnaire manual. Mind Garden.
- [7] Kavitha, M. (2024). Design and performance analysis of a wideband MIMO antenna array for 5G millimeter-wave applications. *National Journal of RF Circuits and Wireless Systems*, 1(1), 1–10.
- [8] Babolan, A. Z., Nejad, M. S., Nejad, H. S., & Moeinikia, M. (2015). The study of the relationship between transformational leadership and organizational justice with organizational entrepreneurship in MohaqeqArdabili University staff. *International Academic Journal of Organizational Behavior and Human Resource Management*, 2(1), 10–17.
- [9] Bass, B. M. (1990). From transactional to transformational leadership: Learning to share the vision. *Organizational Dynamics*, 18(3), 19–31.
- [10] Bass, B. M., & Avolio, B. J. (1993). Transformational leadership and organizational culture. *Public Administration Quarterly*, 17(1), 112–121.
- [11] Boamah, S. A., Laschinger, H. K. S., Wong, C., & Clarke, S. (2018). Effect of transformational leadership on job satisfaction and patient outcomes in healthcare settings: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 74(6), 1123–1140.
- [12] Surendar, A. (2025). Lightweight CNN architecture for real-time image super-resolution in edge devices. *National Journal of Signal and Image Processing*, 1(1), 1–8.
- [13] Boopathy, E. V., Shanmugasundaram, M., Vadivu, N. S., Karthikkumar, S., Diban, R., Hariharan, P., & Madhan, A. (2024). Lorawan based coalminers rescue and health monitoring system using IoT. *Archives for Technical Sciences*, 2(31), 213–219. <https://doi.org/10.70102/afts.2024.1631.213>
- [14] Burns, J. M. (1978). *Leadership*. Harper & Row.
- [15] Kavitha, M. (2025). Real-time speech enhancement on edge devices using optimized deep learning models. *National Journal of Speech and Audio Processing*, 1(1), 1–7.
- [16] Casida, J., & Pinto-Zipp, G. (2008). Leadership–organizational culture relationship in nursing units of acute care hospitals. *Nursing Economics*, 26(1), 7–15.
- [17] Gilmartin, M. J., & D'Aunno, T. A. (2007). Leadership research in healthcare: A review and roadmap. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 21(2), 48–66.
- [18] Giltinane, C. L. (2013). Leadership styles and theories in nursing: A literature review. *British Journal of Nursing*, 22(1), 33–39.
- [19] Sathish Kumar, T. M. (2025). Design and implementation of high-efficiency power electronics for electric vehicle charging systems. *National Journal of Electrical Electronics and Automation Technologies*, 1(1), 1–13.
- [20] Havaei, F., Ma, A., Storch, J. L., & MacPhee, M. (2021). Nurses' workplace conditions impact the mental health of nurses and their patients during the COVID-19 pandemic: A discussion paper. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 124, 104002.
- [21] Hetland, H., Sandal, G. M., & Johnsen, T. B. (2011). Burnout in the information technology sector: Does leadership matter? *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 20(2), 167–185.
- [22] Jalali, Z., & Rezaie, H. (2016). Analyzing the effect of dynamic organizational capabilities (organizational learning) and knowledge management in achieving the objectives of the health reform plan. *International Academic Journal of Organizational Behavior and Human Resource Management*, 3(2), 28–41.
- [23] Chakma, K. S. (2025). Flexible and wearable electronics: Innovations, challenges, and future prospects. *Progress in Electronics and Communication Engineering*, 2(2), 41–46. <https://doi.org/10.31838/PECE/02.02.05>
- [24] Mahendiren, D. B., & Kushwaha, B. P. (2023). Impact of leadership style and perceived organizational support on the organizational citizenship behaviour of librarians in Indian universities. *Indian Journal of Information Sources and Services*, 13(2), 22–29. <https://doi.org/10.51983/ijiss-2023.13.2.3783>
- [25] McCleskey, J. A. (2014). Situational, transformational, and transactional leadership and leadership development. *Journal of Business Studies Quarterly*, 5(4), 117–130.
- [26] Mohammed, A. K. (2023). Diagnosis of leadership pattern in the treatment of functional laziness: Sample of Samarra University. *International Academic Journal of Economics*, 10(1), 39–53. <https://doi.org/10.9756/IAJE/V10I1/IAJE1005>
- [27] Northouse, P. G. (2021). *Leadership: Theory and Practice* (9th ed). SAGE Publications.
- [28] Prabahar, I. B., Jerome, V. B., Kaviarasu, S. J., Mariadoss, S., Anbarasu, M., & Xavier, S. B. (2024). The impact of teacher leadership traits on student success: A correlational study. *Indian Journal of Information Sources and Services*, 14(4), 141–146. <https://doi.org/10.51983/ijiss-2024.14.4.22>
- [29] Choset, K., & Bindal, J. (2025). Using FPGA-based embedded systems for accelerated data processing analysis. *SCCTS Journal of Embedded Systems Design and Applications*, 2(1), 79–85.
- [30] Rashid, A. G. (2022). How to enhance the role of managers using transformational leadership practices. *International Academic Journal of Business Management*, 9(1), 37–54. <https://doi.org/10.9756/IAJBM/V9I1/IAJBM0905>
- [31] Sfantou, D. F., Laliotis, A., Patelarou, A. E., Sifaki Pistolla, D., Mataliotakis, M., & Patelarou, E. (2017). Importance of leadership style towards quality of care measures in healthcare settings: A systematic review. *Healthcare*, 5(4), 73. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare5040073>
- [32] Yang, X., & Entebang, H. (2024). Unveiling the dynamics of entrepreneurial leadership and radical innovation performance of China internet SMEs: Resource-based view. *Journal of Internet Services and Information Security*, 14(4), 67–85. <https://doi.org/10.58346/JISIS.2024.14.004>