

Evaluating the Effectiveness of the Leadership Pipeline Model in Developing Healthcare Executives and Future Leaders

Dr. Arasuraja Ganesan ^{1*}, Dr.P. SundaraBala Murugan ¹, Dr.E.P. John ², Dr. Byju John ³, Dr. Sandeep Soni ⁴

¹ Associate Professor, Department of Management Studies, St. Joseph's Institute of Technology, OMR, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India.

² Assistant Professor (Sr.G), Department of Management Studies, SRM Valliammai Engineering College, SRM Nagar, Kattankulathur,

³ Professor, Department of Management, Kalinga University, Raipur, India.

⁴ Assistant Professor, Department of Management, Kalinga University, Raipur, India.

*Corresponding author E-mail: arasuraja.mba@gmail.com

Received: May 15, 2025, Accepted: June 7, 2025, Published: August 28 2025

Abstract

Leadership development is a critical factor in ensuring the long-term sustainability and efficiency of healthcare organizations. The Leadership Pipeline Model (LPM) provides a structured framework for transitioning individuals across various leadership levels, from frontline supervisors to senior executives. This study evaluates the effectiveness of LPM in healthcare settings by examining its impact on leadership competency development, career progression, and organizational performance. Using a mixed-methods approach, quantitative surveys and qualitative interviews were conducted with healthcare executives, mid-level managers, and frontline leaders to assess the practical implementation and challenges of LPM. The study also incorporates case analyses of hospitals that have adopted LPM, identifying key success factors and limitations. Findings suggest that structured leadership progression enhances workforce engagement, succession planning, and overall healthcare service quality. Although, factors like insufficient training support, misalignment with expertise in clinics as well as resistance to variation can increase challenges during the phase of implementation. The conclusion of our study discusses approaches for LPM optimization for the development of leadership in healthcare industries to acquire future leaders who are both adaptive and capable.

Keywords: Healthcare Leadership; Leadership Pipeline Model; Leadership Development; Succession Planning; Executive Training; Healthcare Management; Leadership Competency; Organizational Performance; Leadership Transition; Talent Development.

1. Introduction

Healthcare organizations will succeed in improving the quality of patient care (Atashsooz et al., 2016), engagement of workforce as well as operational efficiency (Garman & Lemak, 2011) if there is an effective and efficient leadership involved. As the healthcare systems are growing to be more and more complex, the demand for a well-developed approach to create future leaders capable of dealing with new and tough challenges is also growing (Al-Jubouri, 2022). The LPM (Leadership Pipeline Model) was initiated (Charan et al., 2001) and it provides a well-developed leadership progression framework, giving individuals guidance via various levels of hierarchy by offering them time managing ability, new values and significant skills in every transition (Daly et al., 2014)..

In healthcare, the development of leadership has been traditionally informal, often depending on the clinical expertise as the primary qualification for leadership roles. However, studies indicate that clinical proficiency alone does not ensure effective leadership (Adeshina et al., 2024), as the management of healthcare teams, strategic decision making and financial monitoring require separate efficiency (Figueroa et al., 2019). The leadership pipeline model addresses this difference by defining the major transition points, such as going to an individual contributor role for a managerial status, ensuring that the leadership qualifications develop into alignment with organizational needs (Charan et al., 2001; McAlearney, 2010; Moghaddam et al., 2017; Movahedi&Mirzahoseini, 2016).

Despite the theoretical benefits of LPM, its practical implementation in Healthcare faces challenges, including resistance to leadership infections, insufficient mentorships, and clinical and administrative leadership expectations. This study evaluates the effectiveness of LPM in developing health officials and future leaders by analyzing its impact on career progress, leadership ability, and healthcare results.

By employing mixed-Methods approach, this research will assess the application of LPM in healthcare organizations (Krishnan et al., 2022), which identifies major ambitions and obstacles for successful implementation (Hashim et al., 2022). The purpose of the findings is to provide actionable insights into adaptation of leadership development programs to ensure a stable pipeline of competent leaders that can run healthcare innovation and organizational success (Rahimi et al., 2018; Özsoy& Alcan, 2017).

2. Conceptual Framework

To evaluate the effectiveness of the leadership pipeline model (LPM) in developing healthcare officers and future leaders, the ideological structure has been created on leadership development principles, career progress models and merit-based framework. This study integrates

pathtarget theory, transformational leadership theory and leadership development models to assess their impact on leadership effectiveness in healthcare settings within LPM.

2.1 Leadership Pipeline Model in Healthcare

The Leadership pipeline model (LPM) (phase, drawter, and noel, 2001) provides a structured approach to the progress of leadership by defining major career transition stages. Each stage represents a change in job requirements, values and skills, ensuring that leaders develop the efficiency required for high-level roles. In a healthcare context, LPM is adapted to reflect infection from clinical roles to administrative leadership, ensuring that healthcare professionals acquire professional managerial and strategic leadership abilities.

The model outlines six key transitions:

- **Managing Self to Managing Others** – Transition from individual contributors (e.g., physicians, nurses) to team leaders.
- **Managing Others to Managing Managers** – Supervising multiple teams and integrating interdepartmental functions.
- **Managing Managers to Functional Leaders** – Overseeing entire departments (e.g., hospital administration, patient care services).
- **Functional Leaders to Business Leaders** – Leading across hospital divisions, integrating clinical and financial strategies.
- **Business Leaders to Enterprise Leaders** – Managing the entire healthcare organization with strategic vision.

2.2 Theoretical Foundations

This study aligns LPM with leadership theories that emphasize the development of effective healthcare leaders:

- **Path-Goal Theory (House, 1971):** Highlights how leaders adapt their behaviors to guide employees toward achieving organizational and individual goals. In LPM, this theory supports the idea that leaders at each transition must adjust their leadership style to match their new responsibilities and workforce expectations.
- **Transformational Leadership Theory (Bass & Avolio, 1993):** Emphasizes the role of visionary leadership in motivating teams and driving organizational change. Leaders progressing through the pipeline must develop transformational qualities to inspire innovation in healthcare.
- **Competency-Based Leadership Framework (Steffl, 2008):** Defines core leadership competencies required in healthcare, such as communication, decision-making, financial acumen, and patient-centered leadership. LPM ensures that leaders acquire these competencies progressively as they advance.

2.3 Components of the Conceptual Framework

The proposed conceptual framework (illustrated in the figure below) integrates LPM transitions with leadership development theories and evaluates their outcomes based on three key areas:

- **Leadership Competency Development** – Assessing how each career transition enhances managerial, strategic, and operational leadership skills.
- **Healthcare Organizational Performance** – Measuring the impact of structured leadership development on hospital efficiency, patient care quality, and employee engagement.
- **Career Progression and Retention** – Evaluating whether structured leadership training leads to improved career growth and reduces leadership turnover.

2.4 Research Hypothesis

The conceptual framework supports the following hypotheses:

- **H1:** Implementation of LPM in healthcare organizations leads to improved leadership competency development.
- **H2:** Structured leadership transitions contribute to enhanced operational efficiency and patient care outcomes.
- **H3:** Healthcare professionals trained through LPM demonstrate higher career progression and retention rates.

By systematically evaluating these aspects, this study provides empirical evidence on the effectiveness of LPM in healthcare, offering recommendations for optimizing leadership development programs to ensure sustainable leadership pipelines in healthcare organizations.

3. Research Methodology

This study employs a mixed-Method research approach to widely evaluate the effectiveness of the leadership pipeline model (LPM) in developing healthcare officers and future leaders. By integrating both quantitative and qualitative methods, the purpose of research is to occupy leadership development trends, career progress and their influence on organizational performance in healthcare. A sequential explanatory design is adopted, where first quantitative data is collected, followed by qualitative insight to deepen the understanding of leadership transition. The study is conducted in hospitals, healthcare training institutes and administrative organizations, which ensures diverse representation of leadership experiences within the healthcare sector.

The quantitative phase includes structured surveys targeting 300–500 health professionals, including doctors, nurses, administrators and officials. The survey is designed to measure the valid leadership evaluation scales, such as Leadership Effective Scale (LES) and Healthcare Leadership Compaction Model (HLCM), to measure leadership qualifications, job satisfaction and employee engagement. Additionally, secondary data analysis is conducted on organizational records to assess leadership training effectiveness, promotion rates and turnover trends. From junior managers to executive administrators, a stratified random sampling method is employed to ensure proportional representation at various leadership levels. The data collected to determine the correlation amidst the success of LPM implementation and healthcare leadership will be analyzed using descriptive statistics, regression analysis and structural equation modeling (SEM).

The qualitative phase provides intensive insight through semi-composed interview, focus group discussion (FGDs), and case study comments. Interviews with 30–50 healthcare leaders detect individual experiences with LPM infections, leadership challenges and development strategies. Focus on group discussion with emerging leaders and employees. Apply the perceptions of leadership effectiveness, workplace

motivation and career development opportunities. Case study observation in real-time hospital settings allow researchers to analyze leadership behavior in crisis management and employee engagement. The qualitative data will be analyzed using thematic and material analysis, the leadership will identify the recurring patterns in effectiveness. To ensure the validity of research, the triangle will be applied by cross-verifying findings from several data sources.

Ethical thoughts are an important component of this study. All participants will provide informed consent, and data privacy will be maintained strictly for protection of privacy. The study will have to undergo the approval of the Institutional Review Board (IRB) to follow moral research standards. The expected results of this research include the identification of major leadership transitions within LPM that contribute to effective leadership development, assessment of LPM's impact on employee motivation, career progression, and job satisfaction, and strategic recommendations to increase leadership development in healthcare organizations. Through this methodical approach, the study aims to provide empirical evidence supporting the adoption of LPM as a structured passage to develop high-performance health leaders (Sahu & Kumar, 2024).

4. Leadership Pipeline Implementation in Healthcare

The leadership pipeline model (LPM) provides a structured structure for leadership development by identifying major infections within an organization and preparing individuals for progressively advanced leadership roles. In healthcare, the implementation of LPM is important to ensure a continuous supply of competent leaders that can navigate complex health challenges, improve patient care and increase operational efficiency. Effective leadership development in healthcare organizations requires a systematic approach to essential skills, mentality and competencies, addressing the leaders with individual contributor roles to significant transition from personal contributor roles to senior executive positions.

4.1 Key Phases of Leadership Pipeline Implementation in Healthcare

1. Development of individual contributors in first-rich leaders: Entry-level healthcare professionals, such as nurses, medical technicians and administrative staff, require structured training in time management, communication and decision making before infection in supervisory roles. Healthcare organizations can apply mentorship programs, leadership workshops and performance-based assessments to identify highly-affected employees.
2. Infection of supervisors for middle level managers: At this stage, the department heads, senior nurses and unit managers require advanced leadership training focused on team management, conflict solutions and operational efficiency. Implementing leadership coaching, cross-functional assignments and competence-based evaluation helps to maintain their ability to maintain many teams and cooperate in departments.
3. Advantage of mid-level managers for senior officials: As leaders move towards executive roles (eg, hospital administrators, chief medical officers and directors), they should develop strategic thinking, financial skills and policy-making expertise. Organizations can facilitate this infection by coming into contact with executive leadership programs, landscape-based training and hospital board participation.
4. To ensure continuous leadership development and succession plan: To maintain an effective leadership pipeline, healthcare institutions have to install succession scheme structures that recognize, and prepare future leaders. Data-operated talent assessment, performance tracking, and using structured leadership routes ensure that high-affected individuals are equipped with the right skills and experiences to handle important leadership roles when there are vacancies.

4.2 Challenges and Best Practices in Implementing LPM in Healthcare

4.2.1 Challenges

- Resistance to leadership infection due to traditional hierarchical structures.
- Formal leadership training programs and standardized evaluation metrics.
- High turnover rates affecting the leadership continuity and succession plan.

4.3 Best Practices

- Creating a structured qualification structure with healthcare leadership needs.
- To encourage continuous commercial development through executive education, certificates and workshops.
- To measure effectiveness and integrate leadership assessment tools to measure and refine the leadership development strategies.

By implementing the leadership pipeline model, healthcare organizations can systematically develop leaders who are competent, adaptable, and are ready to run innovation, improve patient results and increase the performance of the overall healthcare system.

5. Comparative Analysis: Leadership Pipeline Model vs. Traditional Leadership Model in Healthcare

Leadership pipeline model (LPM) provides a structured and systematic approach to leadership development, ensuring that individuals clearly progress through infections. In contrast, traditional leadership models often rely on informal, experience-based learning, which can lead to the quality of leadership gaps, disabilities, and inconsistent leadership. Table 1 is a comparative analysis of both models in terms of healthcare leadership development.

Table 1:Leadership Pipeline Model vs. Traditional Leadership Model

Criteria	Leadership Pipeline Model (LPM)	Traditional Leadership Model
Leadership Development Approach	Structured and systematic, with clear leadership transitions at multiple levels.	Informal and experience-based, often lacks a standardized process.
Leadership Readiness	Leaders are trained in advance through well-defined training programs and competency assessments.	Leaders often learn on the job, leading to varying degrees of preparedness.
Succession Planning	Proactive talent identification and structured succession planning for leadership continuity.	Often reactive, filling leadership gaps as they arise rather than preparing future leaders in advance.
Skill Development Focus	Covers leadership skills at different levels: individual contributors, first-line managers, mid-level, and senior executives.	Leadership development is generic, focusing more on experience rather than tailored skill progression.
Decision-Making Capability	Leaders are gradually trained in decision-making responsibilities, improving their strategic thinking over time.	Decision-making is often based on intuition and personal experience, which may lack consistency.
Employee Engagement & Motivation	Provides clear career progression pathways, boosting employee motivation and retention.	Limited opportunities for leadership growth may lead to disengagement and high turnover.
Performance Monitoring & Leadership Effectiveness	Regular competency assessments and performance evaluations ensure leadership effectiveness.	Leadership performance is evaluated inconsistently, often based on subjective measures.
Adaptability to Changing Healthcare Needs	Encourages ongoing learning and adaptability to healthcare advancements, policies, and patient care needs.	Traditional approaches may struggle to keep pace with evolving healthcare challenges and industry demands.
Organizational Impact	Strengthens organizational stability, enhances patient care quality, and improves healthcare operational efficiency.	May result in leadership gaps, inefficiencies, and inconsistent leadership quality across departments.

5.1 Key Takeaways

- The Leadership Pipeline Model provides a structured framework for developing leaders at all levels, ensuring succession planning, leadership readiness, and skill development.
- Traditional leadership models rely more on experience-based learning, often leading to inconsistent leadership quality and lack of structured leadership development.
- Healthcare organizations benefit from implementing LPM by fostering better leadership transitions, enhancing patient care, and improving overall operational efficiency.

Table 2: Recommendation Model in Healthcare

Recommendation Area	Recommendation
Structured Leadership Development	Establish a clear leadership progression framework with defined roles and competencies.
Training and Mentorship	Implement continuous training programs and mentorship for leadership growth.
Succession Planning	Develop a proactive succession plan to ensure leadership continuity.
Performance Evaluation	Use key performance indicators (KPIs) to assess leadership effectiveness.
Employee Engagement	Foster a culture of leadership at all levels to improve motivation and retention.
Decision-Making Process	Encourage decentralized decision-making to enhance responsiveness in healthcare.
Adaptability to Change	Integrate leadership agility programs to manage healthcare sector changes effectively.
Technology Integration	Leverage digital tools for leadership training, monitoring, and assessment.

6. Conclusion

Leadership pipeline model (LPM) presents a structured and strategic approach to leadership development in healthcare, which ensures the continuous flow of well-prepared leaders at all levels. Unlike traditional leadership models, which often rely on experience-based, unnecessary learning, LPM offers clear leadership transitions, qualification-based training and active succession plans. This model promotes the culture of continuous growth, adaptability, and readiness of leadership, which are necessary to address the complications of the modern healthcare environment. By implementing LPM, healthcare organizations can improve staff engagement, leadership effectiveness and operational efficiency, eventually increasing patient care results. The structured nature of the model ensures that future leaders are systematically trained, lowering leadership gaps and improving organizational stability. Additionally, the emphasis on skill development, decision making and performance monitoring is equipped with healthcare leaders to navigate the challenges developed in the industry. Moving forward, healthcare institutions should consider integrating the leadership pipeline model in their leadership development strategies, including regular qualification assessment and structured mentorship programs. Future research should detect longitudinal effects of LPM on healthcare organizations and check its effectiveness in various healthcare settings. By doing this, the industry can ensure that its leadership is flexible, innovative, and sometimes equipped to provide high quality patient care in the changing health care landscape.

References

- [1] Adeshina, A. M., Anjorin, S. O., & Razak, S. F. A. (2024). Safety in connected health network: Predicting and detecting hidden information in data using multilayer perceptron deep learning model. *Journal of Internet Services and Information Security*, 14(4), 524–541. <https://doi.org/10.58346/IJIS.2024.14.033>
- [2] Al Jubouri, N. A. B. (2022). The role of humble leadership behaviors in restricting organizational silence: An investigative study of faculty members' opinions in the College of Administration and Economics at Tikrit University. *International Academic Journal of Organizational Behavior and Human Resource Management*, 9(2), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.9756/IAJOBHRM/V9I2/IAJOBHRM0904>
- [3] Unciano, N. (2025). Edge-accelerated deep neural networks on FPGA for real-time IoT video analytics. *Electronics, Communications, and Computing Summit*, 3(1), 1–10.
- [4] Atashsooz, A., Nejad, R. E., & Sahraei, M. (2016). Investigating the relationship of managers' leadership style and the amount of entrepreneurship of employees of Justice of West Azerbaijan Province. *International Academic Journal of Business Management*, 3(2), 1–6.

- [5] Muralidharan, J. (2024). Advancements in 5G technology: Challenges and opportunities in communication networks. *Progress in Electronics and Communication Engineering*, 1(1), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.31838/PECE/01.01.01>
- [6] Bass, B. M., & Avolio, B. J. (1993). Transformational leadership and organizational culture. *Public Administration Quarterly*, 17(1), 112–121.
- [7] Charan, R., Drotter, S., & Noel, J. (2001). *The leadership pipeline: How to build the leadership powered company*. John Wiley & Sons.
- [8] Daly, J., Jackson, D., Mannix, J., Davidson, P. M., & Hutchinson, M. (2014). The importance of clinical leadership in the hospital setting. *Journal of Healthcare Leadership*, 6, 75–83.
- [9] Muralidharan, J. (2024). Optimization techniques for energy-efficient RF power amplifiers in wireless communication systems. *SCCTS Journal of Embedded Systems Design and Applications*, 1(1), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.31838/ESA/01.01.01>
- [10] Figueroa, C. A., Harrison, R., Chauhan, A., & Meyer, L. (2019). Engagement with healthcare leadership development programs: A scoping review. *Journal of Healthcare Leadership*, 11, 59–67.
- [11] Garman, A. N., & Lemak, C. H. (2011). Developing healthcare leaders: Is there a difference between for profit and not for profit sectors? *Hospital Topics*, 89(3), 82–92.
- [12] Prasath, C. A. (2024). Energy-efficient routing protocols for IoT-enabled wireless sensor networks. *Journal of Wireless Sensor Networks and IoT*, 1(1), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.31838/WSNIOT/01.01.01>
- [13] Hashim, Z. K., & Hussein, A. A. (2022). The effect of proactive leadership in the quality of insurance decisions: A field study on a sample of managers of Iraqi insurance companies. *International Academic Journal of Business Management*, 9(2), 123–135. <https://doi.org/10.9756/IAJBM/V9I2/IAJBM0915>
- [14] Rahim, R. (2024). Optimizing reconfigurable architectures for enhanced performance in computing. *SCCTS Transactions on Reconfigurable Computing*, 1(1), 11–15. <https://doi.org/10.31838/RCC/01.01.03>
- [15] House, R. J. (1971). A path goal theory of leader effectiveness. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 16(3), 321–339.
- [16] Krishnan, H., Santhosh, Vijay, & Yasmin, S. (2022). Blockchain for health data management. *International Academic Journal of Science and Engineering*, 9(2), 23–27. <https://doi.org/10.9756/IAJSE/V9I2/IAJSE0910>
- [17] McAlearney, A. S. (2010). *Leadership development in healthcare: A qualitative study*. Springer.
- [18] Atia, M. (2025). Breakthroughs in tissue engineering techniques. *Innovative Reviews in Engineering and Science*, 2(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.31838/INES/02.01.01>
- [19] Moghaddam, A. M., Aasi, A., & Hussein Fallah, M. (2017). Psychology and mental health promotion of patients with Type 2 diabetes. *International Academic Journal of Humanities*, 4(2), 161–166.
- [20] Movahedi, A., & Mirzahoseini, H. (2016). Anger management skills training: A suitable strategy to improve women's general health. *International Academic Journal of Social Sciences*, 3(2), 113–123.
- [21] Özsoy, O., & Alcan, S. (2017). Horizontal equity in delivery of health care in Turkey. *International Academic Journal of Economics*, 4(2), 40–61.
- [22] Udhayakumar, A., Ramya, K. C., Vijayakumar, P., Sheeba Rani, S., Balamanikandan, A., & Saranya, K. (2024). Reversible Vedic Direct Flag Divider in Key Generation of RSA Cryptography. *Journal of VLSI Circuits and Systems*, 6(2), 75–83. <https://doi.org/10.31838/jvcs/06.02.08>
- [23] Rahimi, G. R., Khezri, S., & Niknafs, S. (2018). Investigation the relationship of leadership styles of managers on productivity staff of the Tax Administration of West Azerbaijan Province. *International Academic Journal of Organizational Behavior and Human Resource Management*, 5(1), 140–144. <https://doi.org/10.9756/IAJOBHRM/V5I1/1810011>
- [24] Sahu, Y., & Kumar, N. (2024). Assessing the effectiveness of medication reconciliation programs in reducing medication errors. *Clinical Journal for Medicine, Health and Pharmacy*, 2(1), 1–8.
- [25] Stefl, M. E. (2008). Common competencies for all healthcare managers: The Healthcare Leadership Alliance model. *Journal of Healthcare Management*, 53(6), 360–373.