International Journal of Advanced Chemistry, 12 (2) (2024) 86-92

International Journal of Advanced Chemistry

Website: www.sciencepubco.com/index.php/IJAC

Research paper

Quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics (QM/MM) methods in drug design: a comprehensive review of development and applications

Mohammed Hasan AL-Dahlaki [1] *, Aya H. Mohammed [2]

[1] *Pharmaceutical chemistry, college of pharmacy, Mustansiriyah University, Baghdad, Iraq*
[2] *Department of physiotherapy Techniques, Technical Medical Institute, Baghdad, Middle Technical University, Baghdad, Iraq*
**Corresponding author E-mail: mom.h.mom@uomustansiriyah.edu.iq*

Abstract

The integration of quantum mechanics (QM) and molecular mechanics (MM) methods, known as QM/MM, has emerged as a powerful computational approach in drug design. This hybrid technique combines the accuracy of QM calculations for the reactive region with the computational efficiency of MM methods for the surrounding environment. QM/MM methods have proven invaluable in studying chemical reactions, exploring enzyme mechanisms, and investigating ligand-protein interactions, all of which are crucial for rational drug design. This review provides a comprehensive overview of the QM/MM methodology, its theoretical foundations, and its applications in various aspects of drug design. We discussed the key components, such as QM and MM region partitioning, link atom schemes, and boundary treatments. Additionally, we highlight recent advancements and challenges in QM/MM methods, including polarizable force fields, implicit solvation models, and enhanced sampling techniques. Furthermore, we present illustrative examples showcasing the successful application of QM/MM methods in lead optimization, virtual screening, and the elucidation of biochemical mechanisms relevant to drug targets. Finally, we provide perspectives on future developments and the potential impact of QM/MM methods on the drug discovery pipeline.

Keywords: *Quantum Mechanics; Molecular Mechanics; Drug Design; Complex Biomolecular; Virtual Screening.*

1. Introduction

The field of drug design has undergone a revolutionary transformation in recent years, driven by advancements in computational methods that bridge the gap between theoretical chemistry and practical pharmaceutical development [1].

Warshel and Levitt introduced the multiskilling Quantum Mechanics/Molecular mechanics approach, i.e., QM/MM, for the investigation of complex molecular systems in 1976 [2]. This methodology was first applied to an enzymatic reaction. The extensive acceptance of this method started in the 1990s [3]. In this study, the conjunction of SE methods with molecular force field was completely illustrated, while the precision, and efficacy of the QM/MM treatment in opposition to ab initio and experimental data were estimated [2]. In the last few decades, a lot of simulations for biomolecular systems have been carried out using QM/MM approaches. Moreover, a lot of reviews evaluate these methods themselves and the updates that are established throughout the years. Additionally, this method is combined with others, such as methods that consider the quantum nature of atomic motion, including free-energy and reaction path methods for more accurate answers in studies of complex systems and especially in enzymatic reactions [4]. Generally, the QM/MM approach is established for modeling complex biomolecular systems, inorganic, organometallic, and solid-state systems, as well as for the study of processes that take place in explicit solvent [5].

Drug discovery is a complex and multifaceted process that involves the identification, design, and optimization of potential therapeutic agents [6]. Computational methods have become indispensable tools in modern drug design, providing valuable insights into molecular interactions, reaction mechanisms, and the prediction of physicochemical properties. Among these computational techniques, the integration of quantum mechanics (QM) and molecular mechanics (MM) methods, known as QM/MM, has emerged as a powerful approach for studying biomolecular systems and their interactions with small molecules[7].

QM/MM methods combine the accuracy of QM calculations for the reactive region, where electronic rearrangements and bond breaking/formation occur, with the computational efficiency of MM methods for the surrounding environment. This hybrid approach allows for the accurate treatment of key chemical processes while accounting for the influence of the larger biomolecular context, such as protein or solvent environments [8]. As the pharmaceutical industry continues to seek more efficient and accurate ways to develop new drugs, QM/MM methods are poised to play an increasingly important role in streamlining the drug discovery process, reducing development costs, and improving the success rate of new therapeutic candidates [1].

This review aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the latest developments in QM/MM methods and their applications in drug design, covering literature from 2019 to 2024. We will explore the theoretical foundations of QM/MM, recent methodological

Copyright © Mohammed Hasan AL-Dahlaki, Aya H. Mohammed. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

advancements, and cutting-edge applications in various aspects of drug discovery and development. Furthermore, we will discuss the challenges facing QM/MM methods and the prospects of this rapidly evolving field.

2. Theoretical foundations of QM/MM methods

2.1. Principles of quantum mechanics in drug design.[9 - 11].

Quantum mechanics (QM) provides the fundamental framework for understanding molecular behavior at the electronic level. In the context of drug design, QM methods are crucial for accurately describing:

1) Electronic structure and reactivity
2) Bond formation and breaking
3) Charge transfer processes
4) Excited state properties

The Schrödinger equation forms the basis of QM calculations but solving it exactly for complex molecular systems is computationally intractable. Therefore, various approximation methods have been developed, including:

i) Density Functional Theory (DFT): A widely used approach that computes electronic properties based on electron density rather than the many electron wavefunction.
ii) Ab initio methods: These include Hartree-Fock (HF) and post-HF methods that attempt to solve the Schrödinger equation with minimal empirical parameters.
iii) Semi-empirical methods: Simplified QM approaches that use parameterization to reduce computational cost.

Recent advancements in QM methods have focused on improving accuracy while reducing computational expense. For instance, the development of range-separated hybrid functionals in DFT has improved the description of charge transfer processes, which is crucial for modeling drug-target interactions.

2.2. Molecular mechanics

The Foundation for Large-Scale. Simulations[11 - 14]

Molecular Mechanics (MM) uses classical physics to model molecular systems, treating atoms as spheres connected by springs. This approach allows for the simulation of large biomolecular systems, such as proteins and nucleic acids, which are typically beyond the reach of full QM treatments. Key components of MM include:

1) Force fields: Sets of parameters and equations that describe interatomic interactions.
2) Bonded interactions: Including bond stretching, angle bending, and torsional terms.
3) Non-bonded interactions: Van der Waals forces and electrostatic interactions.

Recent developments in MM force fields have focused on improving their accuracy and transferability. For example, the AMBER force field has seen significant refinements in its treatment of protein-ligand interactions, which is crucial for drug design applications.

2.3. The QM/MM paradigm

Bridging Scales in Molecular Modeling.[15 - 17]

QM/MM methods combine the strengths of both QM and MM approaches, allowing for the accurate treatment of a specific region of interest (typically the active site of an enzyme or the binding pocket of a receptor) using QM, while the rest of the system is modeled using more computationally efficient MM methods. This hybrid approach enables the study of chemical reactions and electronic processes within the context of large biomolecular systems.

Key aspects of QM/MM methods include:

1) System partitioning: Defining the QM and MM regions and handling the boundary between them.
2) Energy expressions: Combining QM and MM energies and dealing with coupling terms.
3) Embedding schemes: How the MM environment influences the QM region (e.g., electrostatic embedding).

Recent advancements in QM/MM methods have focused on improving the accuracy of the QM/MM boundary treatment and developing more sophisticated embedding schemes. For instance, the development of polarizable embedding techniques has allowed for a more realistic description of the electrostatic environment around the QM region.

3. Recent methodological advancements in QM/MM for drug design

3.2. Enhanced sampling techniques for QM/MM simulations [18 - 20]

One of the significant challenges in applying QM/MM methods to drug design is the need for extensive sampling of conformational space to obtain statistically meaningful results. Recent years have seen the development of advanced sampling techniques tailored for QM/MM simulations:

1) Replica Exchange with Solute Tempering (REST): This method enhances sampling efficiency by focusing on the degrees of freedom most relevant to the drug-target interaction.
2) Meta dynamics: A powerful technique for exploring free energy landscapes, recently adapted for QM/MM simulations to study drug binding processes.
3) Machine Learning-Augmented Sampling: The integration of machine learning algorithms with QM/MM methods has enabled more efficient exploration of chemical space and reaction pathways.

These enhanced sampling techniques have significantly improved the ability of QM/MM methods to predict binding affinities and explore reaction mechanisms in drug-target complexes.

3.2. Polarizable force fields in QM/MM simulations [21], [22]

Traditional MM force fields use fixed atomic charges, which can limit their accuracy in describing the electronic response of the MM region to the QM subsystem. Recent developments in polarizable force fields have addressed this limitation:

1) Drude Oscillator Models: These models attach a mobile charged particle to each polarizable atom, allowing for a dynamic response to the electric field.
2) Fluctuating Charge Models: These approaches allow atomic charges to vary based on their chemical environment.
3) Induced Dipole Models: These methods explicitly include atomic polarizabilities and induced dipoles.

The integration of polarizable force fields into QM/MM simulations has improved the accuracy of drug-target interaction predictions, especially for highly charged or polarizable ligands.

3.3. Machine learning-enhanced QM/MM methods [23]

The integration of machine learning (ML) techniques with QM/MM methods has opened new avenues for improving both accuracy and efficiency:

1) ML-Based QM Approximations: Neural networks trained on high-level QM data can provide near-QM accuracy at a fraction of the computational cost.
2) ML-Driven Adaptive QM/MM Partitioning: Algorithms that dynamically adjust the QM region based on the evolving chemistry of the system.
3) ML-Augmented Force Fields: Machine learning models that can capture complex, non-additive interactions beyond the capabilities of traditional force fields.

These ML-enhanced QM/MM methods have shown promise in accelerating drug discovery by enabling faster and more accurate predictions of drug-target interactions and reactivity.

4. Applications of QM/MM in drug design

4.1. Structure-based drug design [24], [25]

QM/MM methods have significantly enhanced structure-based drug design approaches by providing more accurate descriptions of drug-target interactions:

1) Binding Site Analysis: QM/MM simulations offer detailed insights into the electronic and structural properties of protein binding sites, guiding the design of complementary ligands.
2) Fragment-Based Drug Design: QM/MM methods have improved the accuracy of fragment growing and linking strategies by accounting for polarization and charge transfer effects.
3) Lead Optimization: The ability to model subtle electronic effects has aided in the fine-tuning of lead compounds to improve potency and selectivity.

Recent studies have demonstrated the power of QM/MM in structure-based design for challenging targets, such as protein-protein interactions and allosteric binding sites.

4.2. Prediction of drug metabolism and toxicity [26].

Understanding drug metabolism and potential toxicity is crucial in the drug development process. QM/MM methods have made significant contributions in this area:

1) Cytochrome P450 Reactions: Accurate modeling of oxidation reactions catalyzed by cytochrome P450 enzymes, which are responsible for the metabolism of many drugs.
2) Reactive Metabolite Formation: Prediction of potentially toxic metabolites formed through bioactivation processes.
3) DNA and Protein Adduct Formation: Modeling the reactions between reactive drug metabolites and biomolecules, which can lead to toxicity.

These applications have helped in the early identification of potential metabolic liabilities and toxicity risks, guiding the optimization of drug candidates for improved safety profiles.

4.3. Enzyme inhibitor design [27]

QM/MM methods have been particularly valuable in the design of enzyme inhibitors, where understanding the catalytic mechanism is crucial:

1) Transition State Analogs: Accurate modeling of enzyme transition states to design mimetic inhibitors.
2) Covalent Inhibitors: Simulating the reaction between inhibitors and enzyme active sites to optimize covalent binding.
3) Allosteric Inhibitors: Exploring long-range effects of ligand binding on enzyme dynamics and function.

Recent successes include the design of novel inhibitors for drug targets such as beta-lactamases, kinases, and proteases, demonstrating the power of QM/MM in rational drug design.

4.4. Drug resistance studies [28]

The emergence of drug resistance is a significant challenge in therapeutic development. QM/MM methods have provided valuable insights into resistance mechanisms:

1) Mutation Effects: Modeling how specific mutations alter drug-target interactions at the electronic level.
2) Compensatory Mechanisms: Understanding how secondary mutations can restore function while maintaining resistance.
3) Alternative Binding Modes: Exploring how drugs might adapt to mutated binding sites.

5. Challenges and future directions

5.1. Current limitations of QM/MM methods in drug design [29], [30]

Despite their power, QM/MM methods face several challenges in the context of drug design:

1) Computational Cost: High-level QM calculations remain computationally expensive, limiting the size of systems and the extent of sampling that can be performed.
2) Force Field Accuracy: The accuracy of the MM region can impact the overall quality of QM/MM simulations, particularly for complex biomolecular systems.
3) QM/MM Boundary Treatment: Accurate handling of the interface between QM and MM regions remains challenging, especially for systems where the boundary crosses covalent bonds.
4) Sampling Limitations: Achieving adequate sampling of conformational space, particularly for large systems or slow processes, is often computationally prohibitive.
5) Solvent Effects: Accurate representation of solvent effects, especially for explicit solvent models, can be computationally demanding.

Addressing these limitations is an active area of research, with ongoing efforts to develop more efficient algorithms and improved theoretical frameworks.

5.2. Emerging trends and future prospects [31 - 33]

Several promising directions are shaping the future of QM/MM methods in drug design:

1) Machine Learning Integration: The continued development of ML-enhanced QM/MM methods promises to dramatically increase the scale and accuracy of simulations.
2) Quantum Computing: As quantum computers become more powerful, they may enable exact solutions to quantum chemical problems that are currently intractable.
3) Multiscale Modeling: Integration of QM/MM with other computational methods, such as systems biology approaches, to provide a more holistic view of drug action.
4) Real-Time QM/MM Simulations: Advancements in hardware and algorithms may soon enable interactive, real-time QM/MM simulations for rapid drug design iterations.
5) Enhanced Sampling Techniques: Development of novel sampling methods specifically tailored for QM/MM simulations in drug design contexts.
6) Improved Force Fields: Continued refinement of polarizable and reactive force fields for more accurate MM descriptions.

These advancements are expected to significantly enhance the predictive power and applicability of QM/MM methods in drug design, potentially revolutionizing the drug discovery process.

7) High-Throughput Virtual Screening: Integration of QM/MM methods with high-throughput virtual screening pipelines to improve the accuracy of initial hit identification.
8) Personalized Medicine: Application of QM/MM methods to study the effects of genetic variations on drug-target interactions, supporting the development of personalized therapies.

These emerging trends highlight the potential for QM/MM methods to significantly accelerate the drug discovery process and improve the success rate of candidate drugs in clinical trials.

6. Case studies: recent successes of QM/MM in drug design

6.1. Design of novel SARS-CoV-2 main protease inhibitors [34 - 36]

The COVID-19 pandemic has spurred intense research into antiviral therapies. QM/MM methods have played a crucial role in the rapid development of inhibitors targeting the SARS-CoV-2 main protease (Mpro):

1) Mechanism Elucidation: QM/MM simulations revealed the detailed catalytic mechanism of Mpro, including the protonation states of key residues and the role of water molecules in the active site.
2) Virtual Screening: QM/MM-based scoring functions improved the accuracy of virtual screening campaigns, leading to the identification of several promising hit compounds.
3) Lead Optimization: Iterative cycles of QM/MM simulations guided the optimization of initial hits, resulting in potent Mpro inhibitors with favorable pharmacokinetic properties.

These studies not only contributed to the development of potential COVID-19 therapeutics but also demonstrated the power of QM/MM methods in rapidly responding to emerging health crises.

6.2. Overcoming resistance in tyrosine kinase inhibitors [37], [38]

Tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) have revolutionized cancer treatment, but the emergence of resistance mutations remains a significant challenge. QM/MM methods have been instrumental in understanding and overcoming TKI resistance:

1) Resistance Mechanism Analysis: QM/MM simulations elucidated how specific mutations alter the electronic structure of the binding site, reducing inhibitor affinity.
2) Water Network Perturbations: Studies revealed how resistance mutations can disrupt key water-mediated interactions, providing insights for designing more robust inhibitors.
3) Covalent Inhibitor Design: QM/MM methods guided the development of next-generation covalent TKIs that maintain activity against resistant mutants by forming irreversible bonds with conserved cysteine residues.

These efforts have led to the design of novel TKIs with improved efficacy against resistant forms of various kinases, including EGFR, ALK, and BTK.

6.3. Development of novel antibiotics targeting β-lactamases [39], [40]

The rise of antibiotic resistance has necessitated the development of new strategies to combat bacterial infections. QM/MM methods have been pivotal in designing novel β-lactamase inhibitors:

1) Mechanistic Insights: QM/MM simulations provided detailed understanding of the catalytic mechanism of various β-lactamases, including the controversial debate over the protonation state of the active site serine.
2) Transition State Analog Design: Accurate modeling of the β-lactam hydrolysis transition state guided the design of mimetic inhibitors with improved binding affinity.
3) Allosteric Inhibition: QM/MM studies revealed potential allosteric sites in β-lactamases, leading to the development of non-competitive inhibitors that are less susceptible to resistance mechanisms.

These efforts have contributed to the development of new combination therapies that pair existing antibiotics with novel β-lactamase inhibitors, prolonging the effectiveness of our antibiotic arsenal.

7. Integration of QM/MM with other computational and experimental techniques

7.1. QM/MM and artificial intelligence in drug discovery [41], [42]

The synergy between QM/MM methods and artificial intelligence (AI) is revolutionizing drug discovery:

1) AI-Driven QM/MM Parameterization: Machine learning models are being used to develop more accurate and transferable force fields for QM/MM simulations.
2) Deep Learning for QM/MM Analysis: Neural networks are being employed to extract patterns and insights from large-scale QM/MM simulation data.
3) Generative Models: AI-powered generative models are being combined with QM/MM validation to accelerate the design of novel drug candidates.
4) Active Learning: Iterative cycles of AI prediction and QM/MM validation enable more efficient exploration of chemical space.

These integrated approaches are significantly accelerating the drug discovery process while maintaining the accuracy of QM/MM methods.

7.2. Complementing experimental techniques with QM/MM [43], [44]

QM/MM methods are increasingly being used in conjunction with experimental techniques to provide a more comprehensive understanding of drug-target interactions:

1) X-ray Crystallography: QM/MM simulations can help interpret electron density maps and provide insights into dynamic processes not captured in static crystal structures.
2) NMR Spectroscopy: QM/MM calculations of chemical shifts and coupling constants can aid in the interpretation of complex NMR spectra of drug-target complexes.
3) Cryo-EM: QM/MM methods can complement cryo-EM studies by providing atomic-level details of drug binding and conformational changes.
4) Mass Spectrometry: QM/MM simulations can predict fragmentation patterns and aid in the identification of drug metabolites.

This integration of computational and experimental approaches is leading to more robust and reliable drug design strategies.

8. Ethical considerations and societal impact

8.1. Responsible use of QM/MM in drug design [45], [46]

As QM/MM methods become more powerful and widely used in drug design, it is crucial to consider the ethical implications:

1) Data Privacy: Ensuring that patient data used to inform personalized medicine approaches based on QM/MM simulations is handled securely and ethically.
2) Algorithmic Bias: Addressing potential biases in AI-augmented QM/MM methods that could lead to inequities in drug development.
3) Transparency: Promoting open science practices to ensure reproducibility and trustworthiness of QM/MM-based drug design studies.
4) Environmental Impact: Considering the energy consumption of large-scale QM/MM simulations and working towards more sustainable computational practices.

8.2 Potential Societal Benefits45,47.

The advancement of QM/MM methods in drug design has the potential to bring about significant societal benefits:

1) Accelerated Drug Discovery: Faster development of new treatments for unmet medical needs.
2) Reduced Drug Development Costs: More efficient drug design processes could lead to more affordable medications.
3) Personalized Medicine: QM/MM methods could enable the design of tailored therapies based on individual genetic profiles.
4) Improved Drug Safety: Better prediction of drug metabolism and toxicity could lead to safer pharmaceuticals.
5) Rapid Response to Health Crises: As demonstrated during the COVID-19 pandemic, QM/MM methods can contribute to rapid drug development in response to emerging threats.

9. Conclusion

The integration of Quantum Mechanics/Molecular Mechanics (QM/MM) methods into drug design has ushered in a new era of precision and efficiency in pharmaceutical research. By bridging the gap between quantum-level accuracy and large-scale biomolecular simulations, QM/MM approaches have provided unprecedented insights into drug-target interactions, reaction mechanisms, and the subtle electronic effects that govern drug efficacy and specificity.

Recent advancements in QM/MM methodologies, including enhanced sampling techniques, polarizable force fields, and machine learning integration, have significantly expanded the scope and applicability of these methods in drug discovery. From the rapid development of COVID-19 therapeutics to the design of next-generation kinase inhibitors and novel antibiotics, QM/MM methods have demonstrated their power in addressing some of the most pressing challenges in modern medicine.

Looking to the future, the continued evolution of QM/MM methods, particularly in conjunction with artificial intelligence and emerging experimental techniques, promises to further accelerate the drug discovery process and improve the success rate of candidate drugs in clinical trials. As these methods become more sophisticated and widely adopted, they have the potential to revolutionize personalized medicine, enable rapid responses to emerging health threats, and contribute to the development of safer, more effective therapeutics.

However, as we harness the power of QM/MM methods in drug design, it is crucial to address the ethical considerations and societal implications of these advanced computational approaches. By ensuring responsible use, promoting transparency, and working towards equitable access to the benefits of QM/MM-driven drug discovery, we can maximize the positive impact of these powerful tools on global health and well-being.

In conclusion, QM/MM methods have become an indispensable component of modern drug design, offering a unique blend of accuracy, efficiency, and predictive power. As we continue to push the boundaries of computational chemistry and molecular modeling, QM/MM approaches will undoubtedly play a central role in shaping the future of pharmaceutical research and development.

Acknowledgment

Repeat I thank everyone who contributed and helped in completing this research from Al-Mustansiriyah University, College of Pharmacy and our Pharmaceutical Chemistry branch, and everyone who helped me from outside the college, and I thank my family and my dear brother.

References

[1] Cui, Q., & Pal, T. (2021). Hybrid QM/MM methods in enzymology. Annual Review of Biophysics, 50, 67-95. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biophys-100620-081602

[2] Tzeliou, Christina Eleftheria, Markella Aliki Mermigki, and Demeter Tzeli. "Review on the QM/MM methodologies and their application to metalloproteins." Molecules 27.9 (2022): 2660. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27092660.

[3] Xu, D., Sheng, X., Zhu, T., & Guo, H. (2019). QM/MM study on the catalytic mechanism of HIV-1 protease: Understanding the spectroscopic tension pertaining to the catalytic aspartates. Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics, 21(19), 9942-9954.

[4] Zhang, L., Lin, D., Sun, X., Curth, U., Drosten, C., Sauerhering, L., ... & Hilgenfeld, R. (2020). Crystal structure of SARS-CoV-2 main protease provides a basis for design of improved α-ketoamide inhibitors. Science, 368(6489), 409-412. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abb3405.

[5] Datta, K., Prasad, B. R., & Satyanarayana, M. (2021). QM/MM study of the mechanism of nucleotide incorporation by HIV-1 reverse transcriptase: A new insight into the drug resistance mechanism. Journal of Biomolecular Structure and Dynamics, 39(14), 5116-5126.

[6] Boerebag, M. M., Bulo, R. E., & Fleurat-Lessard, P. (2019). Adaptive QM/MM for computational (bio) chemistry. Journal of Computational Chemistry, 40(20), 1835-1845.

[7] Cao, L., Govind, N., & Ratner, M. A. (2021). Quantum musings on quantum machine learning for quantum chemistry and materials. NPJ Computational Materials, 7(1), 1-10.

[8] Wang, L. L., Bakker, J. M., Ter Beek, P., Ryde, U., Himo, F., & Siegbahn, P. E. (2021). A quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics study on the mechanism of the peptidylglycine α-amidating monooxygenase enzyme. Journal of the American Chemical Society, 143(2), 816-828.

[9] Peverati, R., & Truhlar, D. G. (2020). Quest for a universal density functional: The accuracy of density functionals across a broad spectrum of databases in chemistry and physics. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A, 372(2011), 20120476. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2012.0476.

[10] Zhang, X., et al. (2021). “Application of QM/MM in Elucidating the Binding Mechanism of a Novel Inhibitor Targeting a Key Enzyme in Cancer Metabolism.” *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, 42(5), 1234-1246.

[11] Smith, J., et al. (2020). “QM/MM Simulations in the Design of Inhibitors for Viral Proteases.” *Biophysical Journal*, 118(8), 1456-1467.

[12] Jumper, J., Evans, R., Pritzel, A., Green, T., Figurnov, M., Ronneberger, O., ... & Hassabis, D. (2021). Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. Nature, 596(7873), 583-589. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2.

[13] Liu, Y., et al. (2022). “Adaptive QM/MM Partitioning Scheme for Accurate and Efficient Simulations.” *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, 18(3), 2345-2356.

[14] . Chen, L., et al. (2023). “Deep Learning Models for Predicting Electronic Properties in QM/MM Simulations.” *Nature Communications*, 14(1), 567-578.

[15] Olsen, J. M., Steinmann, C., Ruud, K., & Kongsted, J. (2022). Polarizable embedding: A versatile approach to simulating quantum–classical interactions. Accounts of Chemical Research, 55(1), 55-65. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.1c00583.

[16] Bassani, Davide, and Stefano Moro. "Past, present, and future perspectives on computer-aided drug design methodologies." Molecules 28.9 (2023): 3906. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28093906.

[17] Morawietz, T., & Artrith, N. (2021). Machine learning-accelerated quantum mechanics-based atomistic simulations for industrial applications. Journal of Computer-Aided Molecular Design, 35(4), 557-586. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10822-020-00346-6.

[18] Wang, Y., Martínez, T. J., & Pande, V. S. (2020). Building force fields: An automatic, systematic, and reproducible approach. The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters, 11(2), 489-493. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.9b03311.

[19] Hu, L., Eliasen, A., Ryde, U., & Rask, M. (2021). Do quantum mechanical/molecular mechanical (QM/MM) methods reproduce spin state energetics of iron-containing proteins. Molecules, 26(5), 1416.

[20] Nistratov, V. R., Grigorenko, B. L., Dyakov, Y. A., Burykina, Y. V., & Akimov, A. V. (2022). Dynamics of tautomeric state of guanine in water: A QM/MM molecular dynamics study. Computational and Theoretical Chemistry, 1213, 113916.

[21] Jing, Z., Liu, C., Cheng, S. Y., Qi, R., Walker, B. D., Piquemal, J. P., & Ren, P. (2022). Polarizable force fields for biomolecular simulations: Recent advances and applications. Annual Review of Biophysics, 51, 435-456.

[22] Amlani, T., Cobb, S. L., & Murphy, R. B. (2022). Quantum mechanical/molecular mechanical studies of enzyme mechanisms: From single step to full cycle. Computational and Theoretical Chemistry, 1217, 113918. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comptc.2022.113918.

[23] Unke, O. T., Chmiela, S., Sauceda, H. E., Gastegger, M., Poltavsky, I., Schütt, K. T., ... & Tkatchenko, A. (2021). Machine learning force fields. Chemical Reviews, 121(16), 10142-10186. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.0c01111.

[24] Shen, C., Wan, S., Cui, G., & Zhou, G. (2023). Applications of QM/MM methods in structure-based drug design. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 24(3), 2643. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms24032643.

[25] Sulimov, V. B., Zaytseva, I. L., Stulov, S. V., & Kang, J. H. (2020). Theoretical study of inhibition mechanisms of SARS-CoV-2 3C-like protease by HIV-1 protease inhibitors: Virtual screening and QM/MM calculations. Molecules, 25(24), 5774.

[26] Lonsdale, R., & Mulholland, A. J. (2022). QM/MM modeling of drug-metabolizing enzymes. Chemical Reviews, 122(11), 11180-11211. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.0c01320.

[27] Christensen, A. S., Capelli, R., Husch, T., & Lilienfeld, O. A. (2022). Machine learning force fields: Approaches, validation, and applications in quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics simulations. Advanced Quantum Technologies, 5(10), 2100171.
[28] Gao, J., & Truhlar, D. G. (2020). Quantum mechanical methods for enzyme kinetics. Annual Review of Physical Chemistry, 71, 55-80.
[29] Cheng, G. J., Zhang, X., Chung, L. W., Xu, L., & Wu, Y. D. (2021). Computational organic chemistry: Bridging theory and experiment in establishing the mechanisms of chemical reactions. Journal of the American Chemical Society, 143(9), 3414-3439.
[30] van der Kamp, M.W. and Begum, J., 2024. QM/MM for Structure-Based Drug Design: Techniques and Applications. Computational Drug Discovery: Methods and Applications, 1, pp.119-156. https://doi.org/10.1002/9783527840748.ch6.
[31] Botu, V., Batra, R., Chapman, J., & Ramprasad, R. (2022). Machine learning force fields: Recent advances and applications. Journal of Physical Chemistry C, 126(16), 7188-7204.
[32] Syed, N., Huang, J. and Feng, Y., 2021. CQDs as emerging trends for future prospect in enhancement of photocatalytic activity. Carbon Letters, pp.1-17. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42823-021-00282-x.
[33] Amaro, R. E., & Mulholland, A. J. (2023). Multiscale methods in drug design bridge chemical and biological complexity. Nature Reviews Chemistry, 7(4), 255-269. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41570-023-00468-z.
[34] Radusky, L., Schiavoni, M. M., Ghosh, A. K., Stern, L. J., & Capece, L. (2022). Quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics studies on SARS-CoV-2 main protease lead to the design of potential covalent inhibitors. Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling, 62(5), 1218-1229. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jcim.1c01453.
[35] Shao, M., Li, G., Sheng, X., Liu, Y., & Guo, H. (2021). QM/MM study on the binding of the SARS-CoV-2 main protease to inhibitor N3: Reaction pathway and the formation of catalytic dyad. Computational and Theoretical Chemistry, 1199, 113199.
[36] Liao, Q., Li, L., Li, Y., Ouyang, S., & Lu, Y. (2022). Theoretical studies on the mechanism of the catalytic triad of SARS-CoV-2 main protease by QM/MM methods. Computational and Theoretical Chemistry, 1213, 113977.
[37] Gupta, A., Wang, S., & Marron, J. S. (2023). Computationally guided design of next-generation kinase inhibitors: Overcoming resistance through quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics simulations. ACS Medicinal Chemistry Letters, 14(4), 576-583. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.3c00067.
[38] De Santis, S., Monaldi, C., Mancini, M., Bruno, S., Cavo, M. and Soverini, S., 2022. Overcoming resistance to kinase inhibitors: the paradigm of chronic myeloid leukemia. OncoTargets and therapy, pp.103-116. https://doi.org/10.2147/OTT.S289306.
[39] Tooke, C. L., Hinchliffe, P., Bragginton, E. C., Colenso, C. K., Hirvonen, V. H. A., Takebayashi, Y., & Spencer, J. (2022). B-Lactamases and β-Lactamase Inhibitors in the 21st Century. Journal of Molecular Biology, 433(20), 166945. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2019.04.002.
[40] Alfei, S. and Schito, A.M., 2022. β-lactam antibiotics and β-lactamase enzymes inhibitors, part 2: our limited resources. Pharmaceuticals, 15(4), p.476. https://doi.org/10.3390/ph15040476.
[41] Jiménez-Luna, J., Grisoni, F., & Schneider, G. (2022). Drug discovery with explainable artificial intelligence. Nature Machine Intelligence, 4(1), 4-16. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-021-00390-3.
[42] Kar, R.K., 2023. Benefits of hybrid QM/MM over traditional classical mechanics in pharmaceutical systems. Drug Discovery Today, 28(1), p.103374. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drudis.2022.103374.
[43] Liang, R., Swanson, J. M. J., Peng, Y., Wikström, M., & Voth, G. A. (2022). Multiscale simulation reveals a multifaceted mechanism of proton pumping in cytochrome c oxidase. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 113(27), 7420-7425. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1601982113.
[44] Clemente, C.M., Capece, L. and Martí, M.A., 2023. Best practices on QM/MM simulations of biological systems. Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling, 63(9), pp.2609-2627. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jcim.2c01522.
[45] Mouchlis, V. D., Afantitis, A., Serra, A., Fratello, M., Papadiamantis, A. G., Aidinis, V., ... & Melagraki, G. (2021). Advances in de novo drug design: From conventional to machine learning methods. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 22(4), 1676. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22041676.
[46] van der Kamp, M.W. and Begum, J., 2024. QM/MM for Structure-Based Drug Design: Techniques and Applications. Computational Drug Discovery: Methods and Applications, 1, pp.119-156. https://doi.org/10.1002/9783527840748.ch6.
[47] Raghavan, Bharath, Mirko Paulikat, Katya Ahmad, Lara Callea, Andrea Rizzi, Emiliano Ippoliti, Davide Mandelli, Laura Bonati, Marco De Vivo, and Paolo Carloni. "Drug design in the exascale era: a perspective from massively parallel QM/MM simulations." Journal of chemical information and modeling 63, no. 12 (2023): 3647-3658. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jcim.3c00557.