



Fundamental groups of iterated line graphs

E. H. Hamouda ^{1*}, M. S. Fahmy ²

¹ Department of basic sciences, faculty of industrial education, Beni-Suef university, Egypt

² Faculty of engineering, modern sciences and arts university, Egypt

*Corresponding author E-mail: ehamouda70@gmail.com

Copyright © 2014 E. H. Hamouda, M. S. Fahmy. This is an open access article distributed under the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

The rank of the fundamental group, $\pi(G)$, of a connected graph G is related to the Euler characteristic, $\chi(G)$, of G by $\pi(G) = 1 - \chi(G)$. In this article, the Euler characteristic of the i th iterated line graph of G and its complement \bar{G} is studied.

Keywords: line graphs, iterated line graphs, fundamental groups.

1. Introduction

We follow [2] for graph theoretical terminologies and notations that are not defined here. Graphs considered in this paper are finite and simple connected graphs (without loops and parallel edges). In general, V_G refers to the set of vertices of a graph G , and E_G refers to the edges of G . The number of vertices and edges of G are denoted by $|V_G|$ and $|E_G|$ respectively. The fundamental group is a much studied topic in elementary topology. As graphs are also topological spaces [4], many authors investigated the fundamental group structure of an arbitrary graph G ([5], [6], [8], [10]). If G is a connected graph has $|V|$ vertices and $|E|$ edges, the number $\pi(G) = 1 - |V| + |E|$ is the rank of the fundamental group of G [6] and is related to the Euler characteristic, $\chi(G)$, of G by $\pi(G) = 1 - \chi(G)$ [4]. This value equals the Betti number $\beta(G)$, which is nonnegative for connected G and was one of the first numerical characteristics of a graph. Also some connections between the fundamental group of a graph, the genus of the graph, and the number of components of a 2-manifold in which G can be embedded are introduced in [3]. In [1], the number $\pi(G)$ was defined as the number of independent cycles for some G . In this article, the Euler characteristic of the i th iterated line graph of G and its complement \bar{G} is studied.

2. Euler characteristic of $L(G)$

For a graph G , the line graph $L(G)$ is a graph whose vertices can be put in a one-to-one correspondence with the edges of G , in such a way that two vertices in $L(G)$ are adjacent if and only if the corresponding edges of G are adjacent. The concept has been rediscovered several times, with different names such as derived graph, interchange graph [9, 11], and edge-to-vertex dual. We iterate the line graph of G in the natural way by setting $L^i(G) = L(L^{i-1}(G))$, where $L^0(G) = G$. If $d(v)$ is the degree of a vertex v and $|V_G|, |E_G|, |V_L|, |E_L|$ denote the number of vertices and edges of G and $L(G)$ respectively, then clearly, $|V_L| = |E_G|$ and it is well known that [1]

$$\begin{aligned}
 |E_L| &= \sum_{v \in V_G} \frac{d(v)(d(v) - 1)}{2} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V_G} d(v)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V_G} d(v) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V_G} d(v)^2 - |E_G|
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Now for $L(G)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(L(G)) &= |V_L| - |E_L| \\ &= |E_G| - \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V_G} d(v)^2 - |E_G|\right) \\ &= 2|E_G| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V_G} d(v)^2\end{aligned}\quad (2)$$

For a regular graph G of degree r , every vertex $v \in V_L$ corresponding to an edge $e = xy \in E_G$ has degree equals $d(x) + d(y) - 2$. Thus $L(G)$ is regular of degree $2r - 2$.

We put the following facts in the form of a lemma, which comes immediately from the definition of Euler characteristic of G .

Lemma 2.1: Let G be a r -regular graph with n vertices, then

- a) $\chi(G) = \frac{n(2-r)}{2}$.
- b) $\chi(L(G)) = r\chi(G)$.

Lemma 2.2: Let G be a r -regular graph with n vertices, then $\chi(L^2(G)) = (2r - 2)\chi(L(G))$.

Proof: Since $L(G)$ is a $(2r - 2)$ -regular graph with $\frac{nr}{2}$ vertices. By equation (1), $|E_{L(G)}| = \frac{1}{2} [nr^2] - \frac{nr}{2} = \frac{nr}{2}(r - 1)$. Therefore, $L^2(G)$ is a regular graph contains $|E_{L(G)}|$ vertices of degree $4r - 6$. Hence, by lemma 2.1, we conclude that $\chi(L^2(G)) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{nr}{2}(r - 1)(8 - 4r) \right] = \frac{nr(2r-2)(2-r)}{2} = (2r - 2)\chi(L(G))$. \square

Now we move on to generalize lemma 2.2. Let G be a r -regular graph with n vertices. For $i > 0$, assume r_i denotes the degree of the regular graph $L^i(G)$ such that $r_i = 2r_{i-1} - 2$, and $r_0 = r$.

Theorem 2.3: $\chi(L^i(G)) = r_{i-1} \cdot \chi(L^{i-1}(G))$.

Proof: Straightforward.

3. Euler characteristic of \bar{G}

The complement \bar{G} of a graph G has the same vertices as G , and every pair of vertices is joined by an edge in \bar{G} if and only if they are not joined in G . It is known that $\bar{G} \cup G = K_n$, but this is not enough to say $\chi(K_n) = \chi(G) + \chi(\bar{G})$. a self-complementary graph G is one that is isomorphic to its complement.

The following results are straightforward, and are not stated explicitly by any author. However, they are all useful in proving other results. For a complete graph K_n and $e \in E_{K_n}$, we define $L(e)$ to be a vertex $v \in V_L$ of degree $d(v) = 2(n - 1) - 2$.

Proposition 3.1: Let $G = K_n - e$, then $L(G) = L(K_n) - L(e)$.

Proof: Notice that G has $n - 2$ vertices of degree $n - 1$ and 2 vertices of degree $n - 2$. Hence, $L(G)$ has $\frac{n(n-1)}{2} - 1$ vertices and $|E_L|$ edges comes by equation (1) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}|E_L| &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V_G} d(v)^2 - |E_G| = \frac{1}{2} [(n - 2)(n - 1)^2 + 2(n - 2)^2] - \left[\frac{n(n-1)}{2} - 1 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [(n - 2)(n^2 - 3)] - \frac{1}{2} (n^2 - n - 2) = \frac{1}{2} (n^3 - 3n^2 - 2n + 8) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (n - 2)(n^2 - n - 4) = (n - 2) \left[\frac{n(n - 1)}{2} - 2 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [n(n - 1)(n - 2)] - 2(n - 2).\end{aligned}$$

By lemma 2.1, this becomes

$$|E_{L(G)}| = |E_{L(K_n)}| - d(v).$$

This implies, $L(G) = L(K_n) - L(e)$. \square

We say that a tree T is a spanning subgraph of K_n if $|V_T| = n$ [2]. Let $\bar{T} = K_n - T$ be obtained by deleting all edges of T . It is obvious that, \bar{T} may be has isolated vertices when $d(v) = n - 1$ for some $v \in V_T$.

Theorem 3.2: Let T be a spanning tree in K_n such that $d(v) \leq 2$ for all $v \in V_T$. Then

$$\chi(L(\bar{T})) = \frac{(n-2)(-n^2+6n-7)}{2}.$$

Proof: Since $d(v) \leq 2$ for all $v \in V_T$, then $\bar{T} = K_n - T$ have $\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}$ vertices, $n-2$ of them of degree $n-3$ and two vertices of degree $n-2$. By equation (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |E_{L(\bar{T})}| &= \frac{1}{2} [(n-2)(n-3)^2 + 2(n-2)^2] - \left[\frac{n(n-1)}{2} - (n-1) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [(n-2)(n^2-4n+5)] - \frac{1}{2} [(n-1)(n-2)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [(n-2)(n^2-5n+6)] = \frac{1}{2} [(n-3)(n-2)^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we conclude that

$$\chi(L(\bar{T})) = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2} - \frac{(n-3)(n-2)^2}{2} = \frac{(n-2)(-n^2+6n-7)}{2}. \square$$

Let H be an induced subgraph of K_n , and $G = K_n - H$ be obtained by deleting all edges of H . A graph G that has some isolated vertices, and is therefore disconnected, may nevertheless have a connected line graph. So, we assume that $d(v) < n - 1$ for all $v \in V_H$, the following theorem generalizes the previous result.

Theorem 3.3: Let $G = K_n - H$. Then

- a) $|V_{L(G)}| = |V_{L(K_n)}| - |V_{L(H)}|.$
- b) $|E_{L(G)}| = |E_{L(K_n)}| + |E_{L(H)}| - (2n-4)|E_H|.$

Proof: (a) Since H is a complete subgraph [2]. Then, we assume H has $r < n$ vertices and $\frac{r(r-1)}{2}$ edges. It follows that $K_n - H$ contains $n - r$ vertices of degree $n - 1$ and r vertices of degree $[(n - 1) - (r - 1)]$. therefore, $L(K_n - H)$ has $[\frac{n(n-1)}{2} - \frac{r(r-1)}{2}]$ vertices.

(b) By equation (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |E_{L(K_n-H)}| &= \frac{1}{2} [(n-r)(n-1)^2 + r(n-r)^2] - \left[\frac{n(n-1)}{2} - \frac{r(r-1)}{2} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [n^3 - 2n^2 + n + 2rn - r - 2r^2n + r^3] - \frac{1}{2} [n^2 - n - r^2 + r] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [n^3 - 3n^2 + 2n + 2rn - 2r - 2r^2n + r^2 + r^3] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [n^3 - 3n^2 + 2n] + \frac{1}{2} [r^3 - 3r^2 + 2r] - \frac{1}{2} [2r^2n - 2rn - 4r^2 + 4r] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [n^3 - 3n^2 + 2n] + \frac{1}{2} [r^3 - 3r^2 + 2r] - \frac{1}{2} [r(r-1)(2n-4)]. \end{aligned}$$

By lemma 2.1, we get

$$|E_{L(G)}| = |E_{L(K_n)}| + |E_{L(H)}| - (2n-4)|E_H|. \square$$

From the preceding discussion and theorem 3.3, we summarize with.

Theorem 3.4: Let G be a graph (may be disconnected) with n vertices such that $d(v) < n - 1$ for all $v \in V_G$. Then

- a) $|V_{L(\bar{G})}| = |V_{L(K_n)}| - |V_{L(G)}|.$
- b) $|E_{L(\bar{G})}| = |E_{L(K_n)}| + |E_{L(G)}| - (2n-4)|E_G|.$

4. Some applications

The maximum genus of a connected graph G , denoted by $\gamma_M(G)$, is the maximum integer k with the property that there exists a cellular embedding of G on the orientable surface with genus k . The maximum genus of many kinds of graphs in terms of some graph invariants such as connectivity, diameter, girth, and chromatic number and The Betti number

$\beta(G)$ are investigated [5]. In theory, the deciding problem of genus of a graph is always difficult [Deciding the genus of a graph is NP-complete, 5]. Authors in [6], studied the relations between the maximum genus and the matching number and they showed that they are coincident for some graphs. In [14], lower bounds on the maximum genus of connected 4-regular simple graphs and connected 4-regular graphs without loops are calculated in terms of the Betti number. Since The Betti number $\beta(G)$ equals the rank of the fundamental group $\pi(G)$, so lower bounds on the maximum genus of graphs may be obtained in terms of $\pi(G)$.

By lemma 2.1, the following corollary comes directly from theorem A in [14].

Corollary 4.1: *If G is a connected 4-regular simple graph with n vertices, then*

$$\gamma_M(G) \geq \left\lceil 1 + \frac{2n}{5} \right\rceil.$$

Authors in [13], proved the next result for $i = 1$. We consider the general case as an application of the above results.

Corollary 4.2: *$L^i(K_n) \cong K_n$ implies that $|E_{L^i}| = |V_{L^i}|$.*

Proof: We use induction on i . when $i = 1$, suppose $L^1(K_n) \cong K_n$, this implies that $\chi(L(K_n)) = \chi(K_n)$. From lemma 2.1, we have $\frac{n(n-1)(3-n)}{2} = \frac{n(3-n)}{2}$. Thus the number of vertices in K_n equals 2, i.e. $n = 2$, this means that the complete graph must be K_2 . Hence, $|E_{L^1}| = |V_{L^1}|$. Suppose by the inductive hypothesis that, $L^{i-1}(K_n) \cong K_n$ implies that $|E_{L^{i-1}}| = |V_{L^{i-1}}|$. Assume that $L^i(K_n) \cong K_n$. Then $\chi(L^i(K_n)) = \chi(K_n)$ and by theorem 2.3, we have $|E_{L^i}| - |V_{L^i}| = r_{i-1} \chi(L^{i-1}(K_n)) = r_{i-1} (|E_{L^{i-1}}| - |V_{L^{i-1}}|)$. But $|E_{L^{i-1}}| = |V_{L^{i-1}}|$ yields the desired result. \square

It is well known that the fundamental group of a graph G is trivial if and only if G is a tree [4]. The following result is a direct application of theorem 3.2.

Corollary 4.3: *Let T be a spanning tree in K_n Such that $d(v) \leq 2$ for all $v \in V_T$, then $L(\bar{T})$ is a tree if and only if $n = 1, 2, 3$.*

Proof: Assume that $L(\bar{T})$ is a tree, then $\chi(L(\bar{T})) = \frac{(n-2)(-n^2+6n-7)}{2} = 1$. Simple counting arguments shows that $n = 1, 3, 4$. This means $L(\bar{T})$ is a tree only in case of K_n is a vertex, a triangle or K_4 . \square

Corollary 4.4: *If G is a self-complementary graph, then $|E_G| = \frac{|V_G|(|V_G|-1)}{4}$.*

Proof: Since G is isomorphic to \bar{G} , then $L(G)$ is isomorphic to $L(\bar{G})$. By part (a) of theorem 3.4, we have

$$|V_{L(G)}| = |E_G| = |V_{L(\bar{G})}| = |V_{L(K_n)}| - |V_{L(G)}|.$$

This becomes, $|E_G| = \frac{|V_G|(|V_G|-1)}{2} - |E_G|$. Hence, we have

$$|E_G| = \frac{|V_G|(|V_G|-1)}{4}. \quad \square$$

Corollary 4.5: *Let G be a regular graph of degree r with n vertices. If $L(G) \cong L(\bar{G})$ then $G \cong C_5$.*

Proof: Suppose G consists of k components, then clearly $L(G)$ also has k components. But \bar{G} consists of only one component; hence we must have $k = 1$, i.e., G is a connected graph. Since $L(G) \cong \bar{G}$ implies that $\chi(K(G)) = \chi(\bar{G})$. Then we get $\frac{nr(2-r)}{2} = [n - (\frac{n(n-1)}{2} - \frac{nr}{2})]$. This become $r(1-r) = 3-n$. Since there is no connected graph consists of 3 vertices with degree one. Therefore, we consider $r > 1$ and consequently $n > 3$. Since $L(G) \cong \bar{G}$ means that $|V_{L(G)}| = \frac{nr}{2} = |V_G| = n$; hence we must have $r = 2$. We conclude that $n = 5$ and $G \cong C_5$ are the only possible 2-regular graph in this case. \square

References

- [1] M. Aigner, "Graphs whose complement and line graph are isomorphic", *J. of Combinatorial Theory* 7 (1969) 273-275.
- [2] J. Bondy, U. Murty, *Graph theory with applications*, New York: Macmillan; 1976.
- [3] R. Duce, "How is a graph's Betti number related to its genus?", *The American Mathematical Monthly*, Vol. 78, No. 4. (1971) 386-388.
- [4] A. Hatcher, *Algebraic topology*, Cambridge University Press; 2001.
- [5] R. Han, L. Gang, "Survey of maximum genus of graphs", *J. of East China Normal University*, No. 5 (2010), 1 - 19.
- [6] M. Kotrbek, M. Skoviera, Matchings, "cycle bases, and the maximum genus of a graph", *the electronic j. of combinatorics* 19(3) (2012), #P3.

- [7] F. Larrión, M. Pizaña, R. Villarroel-Flores, "The fundamental group of the clique graph", *European J. Combin.* 30 (2009) 288-294.
- [8] M. Imbert, "Fundamental groups of fat graphs", *Note di Matematica* Vol. 19, No. 2(1999) 257-268.
- [9] W. Massy, *Algebraic topology: An introduction*. Harcourt, Brace & World, New York; 1967.
- [10] S. Nada, E. H. Hamouda, "Fundamental group of dual graphs and applications to quantum space-time", *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals* 42 (2009) 500-503.
- [11] B. Schwartz, "On Interchange Graphs", *pacific J. of Mathematics*, Vol. 27, No. 2.; (1968) 393-396.
- [12] J. Stallings, "Topology of Finite Graphs", *Invent. Math.* 71, 551-565 (1983).
- [13] A. van Roij, H. Wilf, "The Interchange Graph of a finite graph", *Acta. Math.* 16, (1983) 263-269.
- [14] D. Zhou, R. Hao, W. He, "Lower bounds for the maximum genus of 4-regular graphs", *Turk J Math* 36 (2012), 530 – 537.